Before the North Dakota Public Service Commission State of North Dakota

In the Matter of the Application of Northern States Power Company For Authority to Increase Rates for Natural Gas Service in North Dakota

> Case No. PU-21-___ Exhibit___(CJB-1)

Class Cost of Service Study and Rate Design

September 1, 2021

Table of Contents

I.	Intr	Introduction		
II.	CCC	OSS Overview	3	
	Α.	CCOSS Purpose	4	
	В.	CCOSS Results	4	
III.	CCC	OSS Preparation	8	
IV.	Exte	ernal Allocators	11	
	Α.	Distribution Plant Studies within CCOSS	11	
		1. Minimum System Study	13	
		2. Meter and Regulator Study	15	
		3. Services Study	16	
	В.	Other Cost Studies within CCOSS	17	
		1. Customer Care Studies	18	
		2. Uncollectibles Study	20	
		3. Late Payment Study	21	
	C.	Other External Allocators	22	
	D.	Internal Allocators and Direct Assignments	23	
		1. Primary Allocators	23	
		2. New Internal Allocators	24	
V.	Rev	enue Apportionment	25	
	Α.	Test Year Revenues	25	
	В.	NSP's Natural Gas Services	26	
	C.	Revenue Requirement Apportionment	27	
	D.	Overall Class Impacts	30	
VI.	Rate	e Design	31	
	Α.	Revenue Recovery	33	
	В.	Detailed Rate Design and Rate Impacts	34	

	C.	C&I Firm Service	36
	D.	Interruptible Sales Service	36
	E.	Firm and Interruptible Transportation Service	39
VII.	Gene	eral Rules and Regulations	40
	A.	Winter Construction Charges – Section 5.3	40
	В.	Other Revenue Impact	42
VIII.	Conc	clusion	42

Schedules

Summary of Qualifications	Schedule 1
Class Cost of Service Study Guide	Schedule 2
Class Cost of Service Study Results	Schedule 3
Proposed Revenue Apportionment	Schedule 4
Rate Design Detail	Schedule 5
Rate Design vs. Class Cost of Service Study Results	Schedule 6
Present and Proposed Rates	Schedule 7
Typical Bills	Schedule 8
Cost of Gas	Schedule 9
Increase to Other Revenues	Schedule 10

1		I. INTRODUCTION
2		
3	Q.	PLEASE STATE YOUR NAME AND TITLE.
4	Α.	My name is Christopher J. Barthol. I am a Principal Pricing Analyst.
5		
6	Q.	FOR WHOM ARE YOU TESTIFYING?
7	Α.	I am testifying on behalf of Northern States Power Company, a Minnesota
8		corporation (NSP, Xcel Energy, or the Company). NSP is a wholly owned
9		subsidiary of Xcel Energy Inc.
10		
11	Q.	PLEASE SUMMARIZE YOUR QUALIFICATIONS AND EXPERIENCE.
12	Α.	My qualifications include 10 years of regulatory experience in the areas of rate
13		design and class cost of service. I have a Bachelor of Arts in Economics from
14		Saint Cloud State University and a Master of Science in Agricultural Economics
15		from Purdue University. A detailed statement of my qualifications and
16		experience is provided in Exhibit(CJB-1), Schedule 1.
17		
18	Q.	WHAT IS THE PURPOSE OF YOUR TESTIMONY IN THIS PROCEEDING?
19	Α.	The purpose of my testimony is to present NSP's natural gas Class Cost of
20		Service Study (CCOSS), proposed class revenue apportionment and proposed
21		class rate design.
22		
23	Q.	PLEASE SUMMARIZE NSP'S CCOSS PROPOSAL.
24	Α.	The CCOSS is done on a forecasted 2022 calendar year embedded cost basis
25		which functionalizes, classifies, and allocates budgeted plant and expenses in
26		the test year on cost-causation principles. Other than the refinement of the
27		calculation of certain allocators, the Company is not proposing any significant

1		changes to the CCOSS methodology last approved by the North Dakota Public
2		Service Commission. I will describe the modifications to the class allocations
3		and the rationale for the adjustments, detail the class allocations indicated by
4		the CCOSS, and discuss the results of the CCOSS.
5		
6	Q.	Please summarize NSP's Class Revenue Apportionment and Rate
7		DESIGN PROPOSALS.
8	Α.	Using the CCOSS as a guide, along with the rate design objectives of continuity
9		and moderation, I propose the same rate design structure that is currently
10		approved with updated pricing components. These proposed rates are designed
11		to recover the overall revenue requirement requested in this proceeding for the
12		Company's natural gas utility operations in North Dakota, while moderating
13		disproportionate rate increases on any customer class.
14		
15	Q.	WHAT ALLOCATION OF THE OVERALL COSTS OF SERVICE DOES THE CCOSS
16		INDICATE FOR EACH CUSTOMER CLASS?
17	Α.	The CCOSS indicates a cost of service increase of 33.7 percent for Residential
18		Firm service and 0.51 percent for Commercial and Industrial (C&I) Firm
19		customers. The CCOSS indicates a decrease in the costs of service of 12.25
20		percent for Small Interruptible customers and 9.67 percent for Large
21		Interruptible customers.
22		
23	Q.	How do your proposed revenue increases by class compare to the
24		CLASS ALLOCATION OF COSTS INDICATED BY THE CCOSS?
25	Α.	To mitigate the impact on Residential customers of the 33.7 percent cost
26		allocation indicated by the CCOSS, I propose an increase of 15 percent, less
27		than half of the needed increase indicated by the CCOSS. Mitigating the rate

1	impact for Residential customers, however, requires proposed rates for non-
2	residential customers that are higher than their cost. I propose a 10.5 percent
3	increase for C&I Firm Service, and 10 percent increases for both Small and
4	Large Interruptible Service. These modifications continue a moderate
5	movement toward alignment of each class's rate recovery and costs of service.

7 Q. PLEASE SUMMARIZE NSP'S RATE DESIGN PROPOSAL.

The Company proposes to increase the monthly Residential Delivery Service Charge by \$5.80, from \$18.48 to \$24.28. The Company also proposes to increase the C&I Firm Service Customer Charge from \$30.00 to \$35.00 and the volumetric Distribution Charge for C&I Firm Service customers from \$0.10800 to \$0.14627 per therm. Finally, the Company proposes to increase the Interruptible Service Customer Charge from \$75.00 to \$100.00, and to increase the Distribution Charge for Small Interruptible Service from \$0.08800 to \$0.11279 per therm and for Large Interruptible Service from \$0.05120 to \$0.07812 per therm. This rate design will provide the Company a reasonable opportunity to earn its authorized rate of return while ensuring rates remain reasonable.

II. CCOSS OVERVIEW

- 22 Q. What is the purpose of this section of your testimony?
- A. In this section of my testimony, I describe the purpose of the CCOSS that was conducted, and the Company's objectives in conducting the CCOSS. I also summarize the results of the CCOSS.

A. CCOSS Purpose

- 2 Q. What is the purpose of a CCOSS?
- 3 The CCOSS allocates the total cost of providing utility service (also referred to 4 as the Company's revenue requirement) to the various service classes in a way 5 that reflects the engineering and operating characteristics of the natural gas 6 utility system, and hence each class's contribution to the costs of providing 7 service. Given the characteristics of gas utility costs, the primary objective of the CCOSS is to determine the total cost of service for each customer class, 8 9 which includes the costs associated with investment in plant as well as operating and maintenance expenses. Another key objective of the CCOSS is to develop 10 11 class cost allocation factors that accurately reflect cost causation. Results from 12 the CCOSS serve as a guide for evaluating and developing the Company's class 13 revenue apportionment and rate design, which I discuss in more detail later in my Direct Testimony. 14

15

1

- 16 Q. What are the Company's objectives when developing its CCOSS?
- 17 A. The Company's CCOSS objectives are:
- 1. Properly reflect all the costs and revenues that have been identified in the Company's North Dakota Jurisdictional Cost of Service Study (JCOSS),
 - 2. Develop allocators that can be accurately determined and calculated with a reasonable amount of effort to properly assign those costs among the various customer classes and the three main billing classifications customer, demand, and energy, and
 - 3. Use allocators that are consistent across the Company's jurisdictions.

25

26

27

20

21

22

23

24

B. CCOSS Results

Q. PLEASE SUMMARIZE THE RESULTS OF THE PROPOSED CCOSS.

A. Table 1 below shows a summary of the CCOSS results at the major class level.

A more detailed summary is provided in Exhibit___(CJB-1), Schedule 3. These results indicate the level of rate increase necessary for each class of service to produce equal rates of return from each class.

Table 1
Summary of Class Cost of Service Study (\$000)

Item	Res	C&I Firm	Small Int	Large Int	Total
Retail Revenue Requirement	\$35,833	\$32,063	\$1,926	\$5,789	\$75,612
Present Retail Revenues	\$26,797	\$31,902	\$2,195	\$6,409	\$67,303
Revenue Deficiency	\$9,036	\$161	-\$269	-\$620	\$8,309
Deficiency %	33.72%	0.51%	-12.25%	-9.67%	12.35%

- Q. THE COMPANY'S RATE APPLICATION STATES THAT THE COMPANY IS SEEKING AUTHORITY FROM THE COMMISSION TO INCREASE ITS NATURAL GAS REVENUES BY \$7.059 MILLION, OR 10.49 PERCENT. WHY DOES THE CCOSS REFLECT AN \$8.309 MILLION, OR 12.35 PERCENT OVERALL DEFICIENCY?
- The total revenue deficiency in Table 1 includes a non-gas revenue deficiency of \$7.059 million and the \$1.25 million in manufactured gas plant (MGP) amortization which has been removed from the 2022 test year COSS and moved to the Cost of Gas (COG) Rider consistent with the Commission order and associated settlement in Case No. PU-18-156 (TCJA Settlement). In the TCJA settlement, it was agreed no portion of the \$1.25 million MGP amortization will be included in the Company's test year following approval of the settlement, and that, rather, the costs of the MGP amortization would be recovered in the COG Rider.

- Exhibit___(CJB-1), Schedule 4 provides a breakout of present and proposed
- 2 base and non-gas revenues and my proposed apportionment of those revenues.
- Table 2 below also illustrates the breakout of the \$7.059 million revenue deficiency and the \$1.25 million MGP amortization.

6

7

Table 2
Composition of CCOSS Revenue Deficiency (\$000)

8

10

11

Revenue Type	Revenue Deficiency
Base Rate (& other misc.)	\$7,059
COG Rider (MGP Amortization)	\$1,250
Total	\$8,309

12

15

- 13 Q. PLEASE EXPLAIN THE CCOSS RESULTS.
- 14 A. The CCOSS indicates a cost-of-service increase of 33.7 percent for Residential
- 16 customers. The CCOSS indicates a decrease in the costs of service of 12.25

Firm service and 0.51 percent for Commercial and Industrial (C&I) Firm

- percent for Small Interruptible customers and 9.67 percent for Large
- 18 Interruptible customers.

- Q. IS THE CCOSS INDICATED INCREASE FOR RESIDENTIAL CUSTOMERS UNEXPECTED?
- A. No, for several reasons. The key drivers in this rate application are primarily associated with our gas distribution system (81 percent of our total plant in service in the test year is distribution plant) and are primarily driven by the addition of customers to our system. These drivers include distribution plant investments for safety-related work, improved reliability, serving new
- 27 customers, and mandatory infrastructure relocations; the Fargo Capacity

1		Project, a new distribution main being constructed by the Company to increase
2		the capacity of its distribution system in the Fargo and West Fargo area; and
3		increased distribution operations and maintenance expenses which have been
4		driven by inflation and the increase in Residential and C&I Firm customers.
5		
6	Q.	Why do you feel progress is being made in the alignment of
7		RESIDENTIAL RATES WITH THE COSTS TO SERVE?
8	Α.	In our last filed North Dakota gas rate case, the CCOSS indicated Residential
9		rates would need to increase, on a percentage basis, four times the overall
10		percentage increase to reflect cost-based rates. In comparison, the results of
11		our current CCOSS indicate that Residential rates would need to increase
12		approximately two and a half times the overall percentage increase to reflect
13		cost-based rates. This result is due to gradual movement towards cost in final
14		rates over time, and changes in current class allocators.
15		
16	Q.	How do the current Primary Allocators in the CCOSS for this case
17		COMPARE WITH THE PRIMARY ALLOCATORS FROM THE CCOSS USED IN THE
18		LAST NATURAL GAS RATE CASE?

A. Table 3 provides a comparison of the primary allocators.

Table 3
Allocator Comparison (2022 TY vs. 2007 TY)

Allocator	Total	Res	C&I Firm	Sm Interr	Lg Interr
Customers – 2022	100.00%	84.95%	14.91%	0.10%	0.04%
Customers – 2007	100.00%	85.04%	14.65%	0.26%	0.05%
Design Day – 2022	100.00%	43.24%	56.76%	0.00%	0.00%
Design Day – 2007	100.00%	48.45%	51.55%	0.00%	0.00%
Mains, Overall – 2022	100.00%	69.47%	27.47%	0.54%	2.52%
Mains, Overall – 2007	100.00%	72.15%	24.27%	0.89%	2.69%
Meter & Regul – 2022	100.00%	67.54%	30.46%	1.05%	0.95%
Meter & Regul – 2007	100.00%	45.32%	50.58%	2.80%	1.29%
Sales, W/o Transp – 2022	100.00%	33.00%	47.98%	4.74%	14.28%
Sales, W/o Transp – 2007	100.00%	35.87%	43.01%	7.67%	13.45%
Sales, W/ Transp – 2022	100.00%	28.29%	46.30%	4.06%	21.34%
Sales, W/ Transp – 2007	100.00%	31.11%	37.31%	6.66%	24.92%
Gas Services Study – 2022	100.00%	68.96%	30.53%	0.51%	0.00%
Gas Services Study – 2007	100.00%	75.22%	23.32%	1.08%	0.38%

III. CCOSS PREPARATION

- 19 Q. WHAT IS THE PURPOSE OF THIS SECTION OF YOUR TESTIMONY?
- A. In this section of my testimony, I provide an overview of the preparation of the CCOSS and describe the allocators used in the CCOSS.

- 23 Q. WHAT TYPE OF CCOSS WAS PREPARED?
- A. The CCOSS presented in this case is a fully distributed, embedded CCOSS. The CCOSS is "fully distributed" in that it allocates plant and operating expenses based on the manner in which they are incurred. The CCOSS is considered

2		and expenses in the test year on cost-causation principles.
3		
4	Q.	WHAT ARE THE STEPS FOR PREPARING A CCOSS?
5	A.	In general, preparing a CCOSS involves five major steps:
6		
7		First, costs are identified by function such as production, storage, transmission,
8		and distribution. Costs are then separated by state jurisdiction - in this case,
9		between the Minnesota and North Dakota retail gas jurisdictions. This step is
10		supported in the Direct Testimony and Schedules of Company witness
11		Mr. Benjamin Halama.
12		
13		Second, costs that can be directly attributed to a specific customer class are
14		directly assigned to their respective classes.
15		
16		Third, the remaining unassigned costs are allocated among the customer classes
17		by an appropriate allocation method. An external allocator is an allocator that
18		takes information generated separate from the CCOSS, such as a class's sales or
19		its contribution to Design Day demand — i.e., demand on the coldest winter
20		day reasonably possible. Internal allocators are based on combinations of costs
21		already allocated to the classes using external allocators. For example, the cost
22		of distribution mains is allocated to class using an internal allocator that
23		performs calculations relying on a class's contribution to plant in service
24		associated with distribution mains.
25		
26		Fourth, the costs for each class are then classified as capacity (demand)
27		customer, and commodity (gas) costs based on whether the costs are driven by

"embedded" because it functionalizes, classifies, and allocates budgeted plant

1		Design Day demand, number of customers or usage. This step guides rate
2		design within a class, as opposed to between classes. For instance, customer-
3		driven costs, like natural gas meters, are not impacted by variations in gas usage
4		or contribution to overall demand on a Design Day. Rather, such costs are
5		affected by changes in the number of customers; the more customers the
6		Company has, the more natural gas meters are needed. Ideally, all customer
7		costs would be collected through a class-specific monthly customer charge.
8		
9		Finally, the cost of serving each class is compared to the test year revenues
10		generated by each class at current rates to determine the adjustment in revenues
11		that is necessary for each class to recover its costs of service.
12		
13		A guide to the CCOSS study is provided in Exhibit(CJB-1), Schedule 2.
14		
15	Q.	Is the Company's CCOSS consistent with its past practice in North
16		DAKOTA?
17	Α.	Yes. The CCOSS conducted for this rate application is very similar to that
18		performed by the Company in its last natural gas rate case (Case No. PU-06-
19		525). Except for a few minor improvements to the meter and regulator, service,
20		customer care, uncollectible, and late fee studies, most of the allocation factors
21		used in our previous rate case were used in this CCOSS. These improvements
22		do not materially affect the CCOSS results. The various allocation percentages
23		have been updated to reflect forecasted 2022 data on customers, sales, Design
24		Day inputs, and other relevant items. The detailed CCOSS is included as
25		Exhibit(CJB-1), Schedule 3.

2		
3	Q.	WHAT IS THE PURPOSE OF THIS SECTION OF YOUR TESTIMONY?
4	Α.	In this section of my testimony I discuss the external allocators applied in the
5		CCOSS. I divide the external allocators into distribution plant cost studies,
6		other cost studies, and all other external allocators.
7		
8		A. Distribution Plant Studies within CCOSS
9	Q.	WHAT IS DISTRIBUTION PLANT?
10	Α.	Distribution Plant includes the pipelines, meters, and other infrastructure
11		needed to deliver natural gas from the transmission system to customers'
12		premises.
13		
14	Q.	WHAT ARE THE CATEGORIES OF DISTRIBUTION PLANT?
15	Α.	The categories of Distribution Plant are: 1) distribution mains, 2) services (i.e.,
16		the pipe going to homes and businesses), 3) meters and regulators, and
17		4) regulator stations.
18		
19	Q.	PLEASE DESCRIBE HOW DISTRIBUTION PLANT AND REGULATOR STATIONS
20		WERE CLASSIFIED.
21	Α.	Distribution Plant was classified as either customer- or demand-related. The
22		National Association of Regulatory Utilities Commissioners (NARUC) Gas
23		Distribution Rate Design manual defines customer-related distribution plant as
24		services, meters, and regulators. Therefore, I have classified these plant items
25		as customer related.

IV. EXTERNAL ALLOCATORS

The NARUC manual further states that a portion of distribution mains may also be classified as customer related and that Minimum System studies may be utilized to derive the customer- and demand-related components of distribution mains. Consistent with this guidance, I classified distribution mains utilizing a Minimum System Study, which I describe below.

The NARUC manual defines demand costs as capital costs associated with production, storage, and transmission plant and expenses; the demand cost of gas; and most of the distribution plant and expenses not classified as customer related. Therefore, I have classified Regulator Stations as demand-related and allocated these costs with an average and peak allocator which I will also explain later in my testimony.

Q. WHAT WERE THE RESULTS OF THIS CLASSIFICATION?

15 A. Table 4 below shows the amount of distribution plant by category and how they are classified:

Table 4
Distribution Plant by Category

Distribution Plant Category	2022 TY Plant in Service (000)	Demand Component	Customer Component
Distribution Mains	\$108,873	X	X
Services	\$56,569		X
Meters & Regulators	\$15,453		X
Regulator Stations	\$151	X	

- 2 Q. How did you allocate costs for the portion of distribution mains
- 3 NEEDED FOR BASIC CUSTOMER CONNECTIVITY?
- 4 A. I determined the appropriate allocation of costs for basic customer connectivity using a Minimum System Study.

- 7 Q. WHAT IS A MINIMUM SYSTEM STUDY?
- 8 A Minimum System Study identifies the portion of distribution plant associated 9 with basic connectivity between the utility and the customer. The Minimum 10 System Study determines the breakdown of costs that are customer-related (and 11 therefore allocated with a customer-related allocator), versus those costs 12 associated with capacity (and allocated with a demand-related allocator). As in 13 the last rate case, the Company conducted a Minimum-Sized Plant Study that 14 identifies the smallest and most common distribution mains in a utility's system, 15 identifies the cost per foot of the smallest and most common main, and applies 16 that cost per foot to every main in the distribution system to derive the cost of 17 a "minimum system." The cost of the minimum system is divided by the total 18 costs of actual distribution mains in the system to derive the portion of 19 distribution costs that are customer related. The remaining costs are split into 20 average and excess capacity costs, which I discuss later in my testimony.

- Q. What methodology are you proposing for the Minimum System Study?
- A. I am proposing a minimum-sized plant study using the same methodology that
 was used in the Company's last natural gas rate case, with a minor modification
 to the application of the Handy-Whitman index for the escalation of the cost of

OF THE MINIMUM SYSTEM STUDY ALLOCATION
mong Minimum System, Average Capacity, and
IUM SYSTEM COMPONENT OF THE MINIMUM
onent identifies the cost to establish basic
and the customer, using pipes with a diameter
e minimum-sized pipe for mains on our system.
y's entire distribution system in North Dakota
initial plant investment would have been 66.1
These Minimum System costs are allocated to
ustomers in each class and are also assigned to
mponent.
GE CAPACITY COMPONENT OF THE MINIMUM
rmined by taking the remaining 33.9 percent of
ciplying by the test year 2022 system load factor.
lated by taking the Company's forecasted total
cast of 14,027,908 Dth) and dividing that by the
0-2021 Design Day Demand of 111,568 Dth –
a available when performing the study) and

gas mains. The Handy-Whitman index is an index utilized to escalate historical

2		load factor is 34.4 percent. Multiplying the 33.9 percent of the remaining total
3		cost of mains by the system load factor leads to an Average Capacity of 11.7
4		percent. These Average Capacity costs are allocated to class based on sales
5		(including transportation sales). Then the results are credited to the Demand
6		billing component and Base sub-component. The Base sub-component is
7		comprised of non-seasonal and non-peak demand.
8		
9	Q.	Please describe the Excess Capacity component of the Minimum
10		SYSTEM STUDY.
11	Α.	The Excess Capacity component is the remaining 22.2 percent of total cost of
12		mains not ascribed to the Minimum System and Average Capacity components.
13		The Excess Capacity costs are allocated to class using an Excess Design Day
14		allocator. The Excess Design Day allocator is calculated by taking the difference
15		between each class's Design Day demand and Average Daily Sales. Then, each
16		class amount is credited to the Demand cost component and Seasonal sub-
17		component.
18		
19		2. Meter and Regulator Study
20	Q.	WHAT IS A METER AND REGULATOR STUDY?
21	Α.	A Meter and Regulator Study assigns meter costs and costs for pressure-
22		regulating equipment to each class.
23		
24	Q.	PLEASE EXPLAIN THE METER AND REGULATOR STUDY YOU PERFORMED.
25	Α.	I gathered information on meter and regulator equipment and installation costs,
26		the premises identification numbers associated with different meters, and the
27		premises identification numbers associated with each rate code/class. From

multiplying that by 365 days in the year. The test year 2022 forecasted system

1	this list, I was able to develop the total meter costs for each class and divide
2	them by the number of meters in each class to develop a cost per meter
3	weighting. Since the residential class had the lowest cost per meter and
4	regulator, they received a customer weighting of 1.0. The weightings for the
5	C&I, Small Interruptible, and Large Interruptible Classes are 2.57, 12.70, and
6	29.29, respectively. I applied the meter cost weighting for each class to the
7	number of customers in each respective class in order to calculate the allocator
8	for Meters and Regulators.

- Q. DID YOU MODIFY THE METER AND REGULATOR STUDY SINCE THE COMPANY'S
 LAST GENERAL NATURAL GAS RATE CASE?
- 12 A. Yes, but only slightly. For the most part, the Meter and Regulator Study was
 13 conducted in the same manner as the last rate case. In the last rate case, classes
 14 were assigned a meter cost for the meter model most prevalent in each class. In
 15 this rate case, we are assigning the actual meter model and regulator costs to
 16 each customers' premises in order to derive the actual total meter and regulator
 17 costs by class, which provides for more precision in determining the weightings
 18 applied to the number of customers in each class.

19

20

- 3. Services Study
- 21 Q. WHAT IS A SERVICES STUDY?
- 22 A. A Services Study assigns gas services costs to each class.

- 24 Q. WHAT ARE SERVICES COSTS?
- A. Services costs are the costs of service pipelines used to connect distribution mains to customers' premises.

1	Q.	HOW DID YOU PERFORM THE SERVICES STUDY?
2	Α.	I gathered information on premise identification numbers, service pipe type,
3		service pipe length, and class associated with each premise. I applied the cost
4		per foot of each service pipe type to each class based on the service pipe types
5		and footage used in each class. This calculation allowed me to determine the
6		total cost of service pipes for each class.
7		
8		I then divided the total cost by the number of customers in each class. Since
9		the cost per customer for the residential class was lowest, that class received a
10		weighting of 1.0. The weightings for the C&I, Small Interruptible, and Large
11		Interruptible Classes are 2.52, 4.67, and 3.56, respectively.
12		
13		I then calculated the allocator for gas services by applying the weightings of
14		each class by the number of customers in each class.
15		
16	Q.	DID YOU MODIFY THE SERVICES STUDY SINCE THE LAST GENERAL NATURAL
17		GAS RATE CASE (CASE NO. PU-06-525)?
18	Α.	Yes. In our last North Dakota rate case, which used a 2007 Test Year, we
19		utilized weightings calculated in a service study conducted for our 2007 natural
20		gas rate case in Minnesota (Docket No. G002/GR-06-1429). In this rate case,
21		we are proposing to use gas services and costs that are specific to our North
22		Dakota gas operations.
23		
24		B. Other Cost Studies within CCOSS

Case No. PU-21-___ Barthol Direct

I performed customer care, uncollectibles, and late payment studies.

Q. WHAT OTHER COST STUDIES DID YOU PERFORM?

25

2	Q.	WHAT CUSTOMER CARE STUDIES DID YOU PERFORM?
3	Α.	I performed two Customer Care studies within the CCOSS: 1) a Customer
4		Records and Collections Study and 2) a Customer Information Study. The
5		Customer Records and Collections Study, and the Customer Information Study
6		were developed to allocate costs associated with Federal Energy Regulatory
7		Commission (FERC) Accounts 903 and 908, respectively.
8		
9	Q.	What are FERC Accounts 903 and 908, as defined by the Uniform
10		SYSTEM OF ACCOUNTS?
11	Α.	FERC Account 903 costs include materials used and expenses incurred in work
12		on customer applications, contracts, orders, credit investigations, billing and
13		accounting, collections, and complaints.
14		
15		FERC Account 908 costs include materials used, and expenses incurred in
16		providing instructions or assistance to customers, the object of which is to
17		promote safe, efficient, and economical use of the utility's service.
18		
19	Q.	WHAT IS THE CUSTOMER RECORDS AND COLLECTIONS STUDY AND HOW IS IT
20		UTILIZED IN THE CCOSS?
21	Α.	The Customer Records and Collections Study first determines the costs
22		associated with billing and call centers for each class on a cost per customer
23		basis. To make this determination, I first directly assign those FERC Account
24		903 costs that can be directly assigned to a specific class. Those FERC Account
25		903 costs that cannot be directly assigned are allocated based on the number of
26		customers in each class.

Customer Care Studies

1.

Since the cost per customer for the residential class is lowest, that class receives
a weighting of 1.0. The weightings for the C&I, Small Interruptible, and Large
Interruptible Classes are 1.17, 61.08, and 61.08, respectively. The weightings
are derived for all other classes by dividing their cost per customer by that of
the residential class. The weightings are then applied to the number of
customers in each class. The weighted customers are used to derive the
allocator for customer records and collections expenses.

9 Q. WHAT IS THE CUSTOMER INFORMATION STUDY AND HOW IS IT UTILIZED IN THE 10 CCOSS?

In the same manner as the Customer Records and Collections Study, the Customer Information Study determines the costs associated with customer account management, expenses associated with low-income customers, and business development by directly assigning the FERC Account 908 costs that can be directly assigned to a specific class. Costs that cannot be directly assigned to a class are allocated based on the number of customers in each class.

Since the cost per customer for the residential class is lowest, that class receives a weighting of 1.0. The weightings for the C&I, Small Interruptible, and Large Interruptible Classes are 1.25, 63.71, and 29.86, respectively. The weightings are derived for all other classes by dividing their cost per customer by that of the residential class. The weightings are then applied to the number of customers in each class. The weighted customers are used to derive the allocator for costs associated with customer account management, expenses associated with low-income customers, and business development.

1	Q.	How were these costs associated with FERC Accounts 903 and 908
2		ALLOCATED IN THE LAST GENERAL NATURAL GAS RATE CASE (CASE No. PU-06-
3		525)?
4	Α.	These expenses were simply allocated based on the number of customers within
5		each customer class. In other words, unlike the Company's current CCOSS,
6		costs that could have been directly assigned to a customer class were instead
7		allocated based on the number of customers in each class.
8		
9	Q.	Why do the studies weight the customers differently in each class
10		TO DERIVE THE COST ALLOCATOR?
11	Α.	Weighting customers recognizes that costs are incurred differently for each
12		class.
13		
14		2. Uncollectibles Study
15	Q.	HOW DID YOU DETERMINE THE APPROPRIATE ALLOCATION OF EXPENSES FOR
16		UNCOLLECTIBLES?
17	Α.	I performed an Uncollectibles Study to allocate expenses associated with FERC
18		Account 904.
19		
20	Q.	WHAT IS FERC ACCOUNT 904, AS DEFINED BY THE UNIFORM SYSTEM OF
21		ACCOUNTS?
22	Α.	FERC Account 904 is associated with the dollar amounts sufficient to provide
23		for losses from uncollectible utility revenues.
24		
25	Q.	HOW DO YOU PERFORM THE UNCOLLECTIBLES STUDY?
26	Α.	The Uncollectibles Study consists of gathering information on customer debtor
27		numbers, net uncollectibles (bad debt less recoveries), and classes associated

2		net uncollectibles for each class are utilized to calculate the allocator.
3		
4	Q.	How were expenses associated with FERC Account 904 allocated in
5		THE LAST GENERAL NATURAL GAS RATE CASE (CASE NO. PU-06-525)?
6	Α.	These expenses were simply allocated based on the number of customers in
7		each class.
8		
9	Q.	Why did you conduct an Uncollectibles Study instead of
10		ALLOCATING THESE EXPENSES BASED ON THE NUMBER OF CUSTOMERS IN EACH
11		CLASS?
12	Α.	With the Uncollectibles Study I am calculating the net uncollectibles that were
13		incurred for each class. This provides more accurate cost allocation than simply
14		allocating these expenses based on the number of customers in each class.
15		
16		3. Late Payment Study
17	Q.	How did you determine the proper revenue allocator for late fees?
18	Α.	I determined the appropriate allocator for late fee revenue by using the Late
19		Payment Study.
20		
21	Q.	PLEASE EXPLAIN THE LATE PAYMENT STUDY.
22	Α.	The Late Payment Study follows the same process as the Uncollectibles Study
23		as it determines customer late fees by class. The late fees by class are used to
24		derive the late fee revenue allocator and assign late payment revenues to each
25		customer class.

with each debtor number to determine the net uncollectibles for each class. The

- 1 Q. How were these costs allocated in the Company's last general
- 2 NATURAL GAS RATE CASE?
- 3 A. These costs were simply allocated with total present revenues associated with
- 4 each customer class rather than by late fee revenue for each class

6 C. Other External Allocators

- 7 Q. What other key external Allocators are included in the CCOSS?
- 8 A. The remaining external allocators are the Design Day Demand and Sales
- 9 allocators.

10

- 11 Q. Please explain the Design Day Demand Allocator.
- 12 A. The Design Day Demand Allocator was calculated with each class's Design Day
- demand for the 2020-2021 heating season. This allocator is utilized to allocate
- various costs that are driven by the Design Day demands of each class and
- 15 coincide with extreme weather conditions such as production plant, storage
- plant, and purchased gas. The Interruptible class does not have Design Day
- demand since they are curtailed when the gas system is experiencing peak loads.

- 19 Q. PLEASE EXPLAIN THE SALES ALLOCATORS.
- 20 A. There are two Sales Allocators: the "Sales without Transportation" and "Sales
- with Transportation" allocators. Using the Company's 2022 Test Year sales
- forecast as sponsored by Company witness Ms. Jannell Marks, the allocators are
- calculated using each class's share of sales. The Sales Without Transportation
- Allocator allocates costs not associated with our transportation customers, such
- as fuel associated with plant additions and the costs related to our legacy
- 26 manufactured gas plant (MGP). The Sales with Transportation Allocator is
- 27 utilized to allocate costs applicable to both sales and transportation customers,

2		expenses, and sales expenses associated with labor.
3		
4		D. Internal Allocators and Direct Assignments
5	Q.	WHAT IS THE PURPOSE OF THIS SECTION OF YOUR TESTIMONY?
6	Α.	In this section of my testimony, I discuss Internal Allocators used in the
7		CCOSS. Internal Allocators are based on a combination of costs already
8		allocated to the classes with external allocators. I distinguish between Primary
9		Internal Allocators and New Internal Allocators, which were developed since
10		the last natural gas rate case.
11		
12		1. Primary Allocators
13	Q.	WHAT ARE THE PRIMARY INTERNAL ALLOCATORS?
14	A.	The Primary Internal Allocators include a) Average and Peak, b) Mains, Overall,
15		and c) Production-Storage-Transmission-Distribution.
16		
17	Q.	PLEASE DESCRIBE THE AVERAGE AND PEAK ALLOCATOR.
18	A.	The Average and Peak Allocator is calculated from each class's portion of mains
19		costs not allocated based on customer counts. This allocator is utilized to
20		allocate demand-related costs such as transmission plant and regulator stations.
21		
22	Q.	PLEASE DESCRIBE THE MAINS, OVERALL ALLOCATOR.
23	Α.	The Mains, Overall Allocator is calculated from each class's total mains costs
24		that are either allocated based on customer counts or demand. It is utilized to
25		assign specific mains-related plant (depreciation, deferred taxes, and additions)
26		and expenses (operations and maintenance, book depreciation, and taxes).

including the average capacity costs associated with mains, gas in storage, sales

1	Q.	PLEASE DESCRIBE THE PRODUCTION-STORAGE-TRANSMISSION-DISTRIBUTION			
2		ALLOCATOR.			
3	Α.	The Production-Storage-Transmission-Distribution Allocator is calculated			
4		from each class's allocated total production, storage, transmission, and			
5		distribution plant that has already been assigned by external allocators. This			
6		allocator is utilized to allocate general and common plant to each class.			
7					
8		2. New Internal Allocators			
9	Q.	What are the New Internal Allocators developed since the last			
10		GENERAL NATURAL GAS RATE CASE?			
11	Α.	The New Internal Allocators are a) Modified O&M Expense, b) ½ Rate Base /			
12		½ Present Revenue, and c) Labor without A&G.			
13					
14	Q.	PLEASE EXPLAIN THE MODIFIED O&M EXPENSE ALLOCATOR.			
15	Α.	The Modified O&M Expense Allocator is calculated by taking the share of each			
16		class's combination of various O&M expenses and is used to allocate cash			
17		working capital. In the last general natural gas rate case, cash working capital			
18		was allocated with a number of different allocators. Since the nature of these			
19		costs is similar, it is reasonable to simplify the allocation of these costs with one			
20		allocator.			
21					
22	Q.	Please explain the ½ Rate Base / ½ Present Revenue Allocator.			
23	Α.	The ½ Rate Base / ½ Present Revenue Allocator is calculated from an equal			
24		share of each class's rate base allocated with external allocators and present			
25		revenues. This allocator is used to allocate several administration and general			
26		(A&G) expenses, such as injuries and claims, general advertising, rents, and			
27		miscellaneous general expenses. In the last general natural gas rate case, we			

1	utilized gross plant instead of rate base for one half of the allocator. I changed
2	this allocator to be consistent between North Dakota and Minnesota and
3	believe that rate base is a better component to the allocator since it takes into
4	account all rate base items whereas gross plant omits depreciation and
5	subtractions and additions to plant in service.

- 7 Q. PLEASE EXPLAIN THE LABOR WITHOUT A&G ALLOCATOR.
- A. To create an allocator for A&G labor costs, we combined the labor expenses related to customer accounting, customer service and information, distribution, production, sales, and transmission and labeled it Labor Without A&G Allocator. The A&G labor costs are excluded from this allocator in order to avoid a circular reference in the CCOSS model.

13

V. REVENUE APPORTIONMENT

1415

- 16 Q. What is the purpose of this section of your testimony?
- A. In this section, I discuss the test year revenues, the Company's North Dakota natural gas rate classes and the Company's class revenue apportionment proposal.

20

21

A. Test Year Revenues

- 22 Q. What are the Test Year Revenues at present and proposed rates?
- A. The 2022 Test Year Revenues, applying present and proposed rates for the Company's Gas Utility-North Dakota jurisdiction, are \$67.303 million and
- \$74.362 million respectively. The \$7.059 million difference between the two
- 26 revenue levels is the base revenue deficiency described in Mr. Halama's
- 27 testimony net of the MGP amortization's removal to the COG Rider. Present

1	rates refer to the rates authorized in the Company's last natural gas rate case,
2	Case No. PU-06-525. The proposed base rates are designed to produce an
3	increase in retail revenues of \$6.983 million and other miscellaneous revenues
4	of \$0.075 million. Forecasted sales and transportation service volumes for the
5	2022 Test Year, provided by Ms. Marks, were applied to both the present and
6	proposed rates to obtain these Test Year Revenues. Present and proposed
7	revenues are shown as base, fuel, and total revenues.

9

B. NSP's Natural Gas Services

- Q. What general categories of service does the Company provide to
 its natural gas customers in North Dakota?
- 12 The Company provides sales service and transportation service. Sales service 13 can be thought of as the more traditional "bundled" gas utility service offering, 14 in that Xcel Energy procures wholesale natural gas for these customers, procures the interstate gas pipeline transportation, and distributes and resells 15 16 the gas to these customers. Transportation service customers acquire their own 17 gas supplies via an unregulated gas supplier and procure their own pipeline 18 transportation to our town border station(s). We then deliver this third-party 19 gas to the transportation customers' premises through the Company's gas 20 distribution system.

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

Customers, whether sales or transportation, can take either firm or interruptible service. Firm service is typically not subject to curtailment and is priced to include the costs of providing this reliability. Service to customers taking interruptible service can be curtailed as needed to maintain system reliability and is priced to reflect both the lower degree of service and the competitive alternatives.

1		The vast majority of the Company's customers take firm, bundled sales service.		
2		Customers must meet certain eligibility criteria to qualify for and receive		
3		interruptible and/or transportation gas service.		
4				
5	Q.	PLEASE PROVIDE A SUMMARY OF THE COMPANY'S SERVICES.		
6	Α.	The Company's Services are summarized in Table 5 below:		
7				
8		Table 5		
9		Company's Natural Gas Services by Class		
10				
11		Firm Sales		
12		Residential		
		Commercial and Industrial		
13		Intomustible Cales		
14		Interruptible Sales Small Interruptible		
15		Large Interruptible		
		St. W. L. W.		
16		Transportation		
17		Large Firm Transportation		
18		Large Interruptible Transportation		
19				
20		C. Revenue Requirement Apportionment		
21	Q.	How was the proposed revenue requirement apportionment		
22	Q.	DEVELOPED?		
23	Α.	The CCOSS was the starting point for the apportionment of the retail non-gas		
24		Test Year revenue requirement among the rate classes. As noted above, the		
25		CCOSS results indicate that customers under firm service should receive a rate		
26		increase, and the interruptible customers should receive a rate decrease.		

The goal of setting rates to equal embedded costs of service, however, must be balanced with the other goals such as emphasizing value/competitive-based pricing for competitive services and moderating rate increases. My goal was to reflect the cost of service for each class while moderating bill impacts for most customers. (A summary page from the CCOSS showing the difference between current revenues and costs is provided in Exhibit___(CJB-1), Schedule 6.) Therefore, using the CCOSS as a guideline, I proposed more levelized increases among all of the rate classes, which mitigated the impact for Residential customers while at the same time moved Residential rates closer to their costs of service.

The CCOSS suggests that the Residential class would need to generate a 33.72 percent increase in revenues to match the costs to serve. My proposal moderates that with a 15.0 percent revenue increase for the Residential class, slightly higher than the overall 12.35 percent revenue increase. Again, the objective here is to moderate the impact to Residential customers while making progress towards recovering the costs of service indicated by the CCOSS. Moderating the billing impact on Residential customers in this way requires revenue increases to other classes which will result in revenues higher than their costs to serve. Specifically, I propose a 10.5 percent increase for the C&I Firm class and a 10.0 percent increase for the Interruptible class, classes which the CCOSS indicates should receive a rate reduction. By moderating the Residential Class increase and assigning some of the increase to other classes, the Company is levelizing the overall revenue requirement increases across its customer base, while still reflecting the overall comparative weighting indicated by the CCOSS results.

1	Q.	WHY IS IT REASONABLE TO MITIGATE THE RATE INCREASE FOR RESIDENTIAL
2		CUSTOMERS?
3	Α.	One of the objectives to be balanced in setting rates is to diminish the impact
4		of CCOSS-based rate increases on any one customer class. A 33.7 percent rate
5		increase for Residential customers would be significantly higher than the rate
6		increase on any other class. Residential customers, especially those on fixed
7		incomes, are particularly vulnerable to disproportionate rate increases.
8		Additionally, most of the gap between the costs of service shown by the CCOSS
9		and the present revenues in each class is due to the fact that in the last gas rate
10		case, Residential customers were apportioned a lower percentage of their cost
11		of service than other customer classes.
12		
13	Q.	DOES MITIGATING THE RATE INCREASE FOR RESIDENTIAL CUSTOMERS STILL
14		LEAD TO REASONABLE RATES FOR OTHER CUSTOMER CLASSES?
15	Α.	Yes. To meet the Company's revenue requirement, mitigating the rate increase
16		for Residential customers necessarily means a higher-than-indicated rate
17		increase for non-Residential customers. However, the proposed rate increase
18		for all other classes is still materially lower than the rate increase for Residential
19		customers. The rate increases I propose for non-Residential customers are also
20		lower on a percentage basis than the overall rate increase needed to meet the
21		Company's revenue requirement. This approach appropriately balances
22		competing interests, while moving the Company's rates incrementally towards
23		the embedded cost of service.
24		
25		Finally, I reviewed the apportionment to ensure that long-standing rate
26		relationships between Firm and Interruptible rate classes, as well as between
27		Sales Service and Transportation rate classes were maintained. This step helps

1	to ensure that proposed class apportionments are appropriate. For example
2	Interruptible rates must be set at a discount relative to firm rates to reflect that
3	interruptible service customers do not contribute to Design Day costs. In
4	addition, the Large Interruptible distribution rates must be set at a discount
5	relative to the Small Interruptible class to account for the economies of scale
6	attendant to serving Large Interruptible customers. The resulting
7	apportionment is provided in Exhibit(CJB-1), Schedule 4 and the present
8	and proposed rates are provided in Exhibit(CJB-1), Schedule 7
9	Exhibit(CJB-1), Schedule 6 contains a comparison of the proposed class
10	rates and corresponding revenue increases to the revenue deficiencies indicated
11	by the CCOSS, along with a proposed revenue increase by class.

- Q. How are Transportation customers treated in the apportionment
 process?
- 15 A. Transportation customers are similar to our sales customers, except they
 16 procure their own gas supply. In order to assign Transportation customers a
 17 similar non-gas responsibility, I combine the Large Interruptible Transport
 18 customers with the Large Interruptible class and Firm Transport customers with
 19 the C&I Firm Class.

20

21

D. Overall Class Impacts

- Q. Please provide the overall class impacts of the Company's proposed revenue apportionment and compared to the CCOSS-indicated
- 24 REVENUE APPORTIONMENT.
- A. Table 6 provides the overall class impacts of the Company-proposed apportionment and compares it to the CCOSS-indicated apportionment.

Table 6
Revenue Apportionment

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

	(\$000)		
Customer Class	Present Revenues	CCOSS Costs of Service	Proposed Revenue
Residential	\$26,797	\$35,833	\$30,817
% increase		33.72%	15.00%
C&I Firm	\$31,902	\$32,063	\$35,256
% increase		0.51%	10.51%
Small & Large Interruptible	\$8,604	\$7,715	\$9,464
% increase		-10.33%	10.00%
Total	\$67,303	\$75,612	\$75,536
% increase		12.35%	12.23%

11

Q. Please explain the difference in Table 6 between the CCOSS Costs of Service total of \$75.612 million and Proposed Revenue total of \$75.536 million.

15 A. The difference between the CCOSS total and Proposed Revenue total is 16 attributed to the \$0.076 million increase in late fees, winter construction, and 17 excess footage charges and the proposed revenue has been reduced by this 18 amount to account for this increase in revenues.

19

VI. RATE DESIGN

21

- 22 Q. What is the purpose of this section of your testimony?
- A. In this section of my testimony, I discuss the Company's overall objectives in designing rates and present the proposed rates by class to collect the total revenue requirement.

2 NATURAL GAS RATES? 3 The primary natural gas rate design objectives are: 1) To collect total revenues sufficient to recover the Test Year cost of 4 5 service; 2) To reasonably reflect the cost of providing service to each customer 6 7 class, as supported by the CCOSS; 8 3) To encourage sound economic energy use; 9 4) To create rates that are easily understood and accepted by customers to 10 the extent reasonably possible; 11 5) To moderate billing impacts; 12 6) To avoid any undue price discrimination; and 13 7) To provide flexibility through value-based pricing and service conditions, 14 where needed to allow Xcel Energy's natural gas services to be 15 competitive with other energy sources. 16 17 PLEASE DESCRIBE EXHIBIT___(CJB-1), SCHEDULES 4, 5, AND 6. Q. 18 Exhibit (CJB-1), Schedule 4 summarizes the number of customers, therm Α. 19 sales by customer class and revenues from present and proposed rates. It also 20 displays the amount and percentage increases between present and proposed 21 revenues. The overall revenue increase of 12.2 percent includes a proposed 22 15.00 percent increase in Residential Firm Service, a 10.5 percent increase for 23 the Commercial and Industrial (C&I) Firm Service class, and a 10 percent 24 increase for Interruptible Service classes.

Q. What are the Company's primary pricing objectives in designing

1		Exhibit(CJB-1), Schedule 5, contains a more detailed report of the billing
2		units by customer class, the present and proposed rates, and the corresponding
3		present and proposed revenues.
4		
5		Exhibit(CJB-1), Schedule 6 provides the resulting revenues under the
6		proposed Test Year revenue requirement compared to the class revenue
7		requirements as determined by the CCOSS.
8		
9		A. Revenue Recovery
10	Q.	How are XCEL Energy's current rates structured?
11	Α.	The Company's current rates are structured as either one- or two-part rates.
12		One-part rates consist solely of a monthly fixed charge. Residential customers
13		are charged a one-part rate called the "Delivery Services Charge," and for all
14		other classes it is the "Customer Charge." All non-residential customers are
15		charged a two-part rate consisting of a monthly fixed "Customer Charge" and
16		a volumetric "Distribution Charge" applied to their use during the billing
17		period.
18		
19	Q.	ARE THERE ANY OTHER COSTS RECOVERED FROM CUSTOMERS?
20	Α.	Yes, in addition to the fixed monthly charge and the volumetric Distribution
21		Charge, the Company collects a Cost of Gas (COG) charge for the Company's
22		purchase of wholesale gas which the Company delivers to its retail sales
23		customers. The COG also includes the transportation and storage costs
24		associated with the wholesale gas. Although the Test Year costs of gas are
25		included as part of this proceeding, the fundamental rate design issues in this
26		proceeding relate to recovery of the Company's non-gas costs of providing retail

distribution service.

1	Q.	DO YOU HAVE ANY SCHEDULES SUPPORTING THE COG?
2	Α.	Yes. Exhibit(CJB-1), Schedule 9 contains a calculation of the COG used in
3		Exhibit(CJB-1), Schedule 5. This is a "snapshot" calculation from the
4		Company's 2022 budget and is not necessarily indicative of the Company's
5		current month COG factor.
6		
7	Q.	DO YOU PROPOSE ANY INCREASES TO THE RESIDENTIAL DELIVERY SERVICES
8		CHARGE OR ANY CUSTOMER CHARGES?
9	Α.	Yes. The Company proposes an increase in the Residential Delivery Services
10		Charge and the C&I Firm and Small Interruptible Customer Charges because
11		the revenues generated by these charges are below the customer-driven costs of
12		service in each of these customer classes. To achieve the desired rate structure
13		and revenue apportionment, the Company also proposes to increase
14		Distribution Charges in the C&I Firm and Interruptible customer classes.
15		
16		B. Detailed Rate Design and Rate Impacts
17	Q.	WHAT CHANGE IS XCEL ENERGY PROPOSING TO THE RESIDENTIAL CHARGE?
18	Α.	The Company is proposing to increase the monthly Residential Delivery
19		Services Charge from \$18.48 to \$24.28.
20		
21	Q.	IS THIS RATE DESIGN CONSISTENT WITH PAST PRACTICE?
22	Α.	Yes. In the Company's 2004 North Dakota natural gas rate case (Case No. PU-
23		04-578), the fixed monthly "Delivery Services" charge was instituted to recover
24		all non-gas, local distribution revenue requirements attributable to the
25		Residential class. This rate design was again approved by the Commission in

our last general natural gas rate case (Case No. PU-06-525).

2		DISTRIBUTION CHARGE FOR RESIDENTIAL CUSTOMERS?
3	Α.	First, it is important to note that the non-gas cost portion of serving residential
4		customers is mostly fixed. Further, without a volumetric Distribution Charge,
5		Residential rates are more stable throughout the year, easier to understand, and
6		more transparent. Additionally, decoupling residential revenues and gas sales
7		supports customer conservation efforts.
8		
9	Q.	HOW ARE CUSTOMER BILLS MORE STABLE AND TRANSPARENT, AND EASIER TO
10		UNDERSTAND WITHOUT A VOLUMETRIC DISTRIBUTION CHARGE?
11	Α.	Customer bills are more stable because they fluctuate less between the high-
12		usage winter months and low-usage summer months. In the winter, when
13		whether is cold, customers use considerably more natural gas. With a
14		volumetric Distribution Charge in place, this would lead to much higher bills.
15		
16		Charging customers a single Delivery Services Charge makes natural gas rates
17		more transparent and understandable as customers know what that cost will be
18		each month, and the monthly impact of proposed rate increases are transparent.
19		
20	Q.	HAS THE COMPANY SEEN EVIDENCE IN NORTH DAKOTA THAT ELIMINATION
21		OF THE DISTRIBUTION CHARGE FOR RESIDENTIAL CUSTOMERS DISCOURAGED
22		CONSERVATION?
23	Α.	No. This rate structure has been in place in North Dakota since 2005, and
24		Residential use per customer in North Dakota has declined since then. It is
25		important to remember that Residential customers still have a COG charge,
26		which is based on the amount of natural gas consumed. The COG accounts

Q. What are the benefits of not having an additional volumetric

4	Q.	WHAT IS THE BILL IMPACT OF THIS PROPOSAL FOR THE RESIDENTIAL CLASS?
5	Α.	Residential customers will experience a \$5.80 increase in their bill. A
6		comparison of bills for various usage levels under present and proposed rates is
7		shown on Exhibit(CJB-1), Schedule 8. The differences based on usage
8		levels result only from changes in the COG.
9		
10		C. C&I Firm Service
11	Q.	WHAT CHANGES ARE YOU PROPOSING TO THE C&I FIRM SERVICE RATES?
12	Α.	I propose a \$5.00 increase in the C&I Customer Charge. Moving from \$30.00
13		per month to \$35.00 per month. I also propose to increase the per therm
14		Distribution Charge from \$0.10800 to \$0.14627.
15		
16		D. Interruptible Sales Service
17	Q.	What criteria were used to design the Company's proposed
18		INTERRUPTIBLE GAS RATES?
19	Α.	The Company used two overall criteria to design the Interruptible gas rates.
20		
21		The first criteria provides that Interruptible rates should reflect the anticipated
22		value of service to the customer. This requires that Interruptible rates be set
23		competitive with the cost of alternate fuels. The upper limit used for the
24		Interruptible commodity pricing was the price of No. 2 fuel oil because most
25		of these customers use No. 2 fuel oil as their primary alternate fuel. This criteria
26		also requires a reasonable discount from firm prices because interruptible
27		service is of lower value. If No. 2 fuel oil is priced higher than firm gas service,

for approximately 70 percent of Residential customers' bills so there still

remains a strong customer incentive to conserve energy.

1

2

1		then the corresponding firm rates, less a reasonable discount, become the upper
2		limits for Interruptible rates.
3		
4		The second criteria applied to design Interruptible gas rates is that Interruptible
5		customers should not be subsidized by other classes of service. Therefore,
6		Interruptible rates should recover at least the Company's COG plus variable
7		operating and maintenance expenses.
8		
9	Q.	How were the Interruptible rates developed based on these
10		CRITERIA?
11	Α.	Xcel Energy is proposing an overall increase of 10.00 percent for the
12		Interruptible Customer classes, which maintains a level of discount from firm
13		service consistent with the discount in place today. The current Customer
14		Charge for the Small Interruptible Service class is lower than the CCOSS
15		average of customer-related expenses. Therefore, I am proposing to increase
16		the Small Interruptible Customer Charge from \$75 to \$100. The proposed
17		Distribution Charge for the Small Interruptible Service class is an increase from
18		\$0.08800 to \$0.11279 per therm.
19		
20		Table 7 below illustrates the current and proposed level of discount between
21		Firm and Interruptible Sales Service.

Table 7

Average Bill Comparison-Commercial Firm and Interruptible Classes

Class	Avg Usage	Avg Bill - Present Rates	Avg Bill - Proposed Rates
Commercial Firm	7,519	\$3,716	\$4,087
Small Interruptible	7,519	\$2,896	\$3,185
% Discount		78%	78%
Commercial Firm	99,799	\$48,956	53,817
Large Interruptible	99,799	\$34,042	37,766
% Discoun	t	70%	70%

11 Q. WHY IS IT IMPORTANT TO HAVE INTERRUPTIBLE CUSTOMERS?

A. The willingness of Interruptible customers to trade firm service for a discount, enhances system reliability and flexibility. In particular, since an Interruptible customer has agreed to not receive service at particular times, the Company's demand forecast can be reduced accordingly. This results in greater reliability, because the gas and pipeline capacity that would have ordinarily been needed to serve these customers can be used to serve other customers. This also reduces costs for all customers since the Company can now plan for less firm gas than would have otherwise been required.

- Q. How does the Interruptible rate class reduce costs for all customers?
- A. The Interruptible rate class reduces costs for all customers in several ways. The throughput from these customers on our systems creates a higher load factor, resulting in lower gas costs, which flow through the COG. In addition, if this class of customers switched to Firm service, the Company could need to make

1		additional capital investments and capacity purchases to firm up service to these
2		customers.
3		
4	Q.	WILL THE PROPOSED INTERRUPTIBLE RATES RECOVER MORE THAN THE COSTS
5		IMPOSED BY THESE CLASSES?
6	Α.	Yes. The proposed Interruptible rates would recover \$1.987 million above the
7		CCOSS revenue requirement for these customers, thereby reducing the residual
8		costs that must be recovered from firm customers.
9		
10		E. Firm and Interruptible Transportation Service
11	Q.	WHAT CHANGES ARE YOU PROPOSING FOR THE TRANSPORTATION RATES?
12	Α.	Transportation rates are the same as the corresponding sales rates, except that
13		Transportation customers pay a slightly higher Customer Charge to reflect the
14		additional customer-related cost of serving such customers. This approach
15		ensures that we will be indifferent to the customer's choice of gas procurement
16		(i.e., Xcel Energy sales gas or gas purchased from a third-party marketer).
17		Therefore, my explanation of the proposed Customer Charges and Distribution
18		Charges for sales customers also holds true for the corresponding
19		Transportation rates. One nuance with the Transportation rates is that our
20		Large Commercial Firm Transportation service customers pay a Distribution
21		Demand Charge in addition to Customer and Distribution Charges. This per
22		therm Distribution Demand Charge is applied to these customers' monthly
23		billed demand.
24		
25		Our Large Interruptible Transportation Service and Large Commercial Firm
26		Transportation service customers have rate ranges set with minimum and
27		maximum rates with their actual rates negotiated within that given range. For

1	our Large Interruptible Transportation Service customers, we have set the
2	maximum Distribution Charge at \$0.07812 per therm. This rate is set at the
3	Distribution Charge for our Large Interruptible sales service customers. For
4	Large Commercial Firm Transportation service customers, we have increased
5	the maximum Distribution and Demand Charges by the same percentage
6	increase to our Commercial Firm Sales Service customers' distribution rate. We
7	are proposing to increase the maximum Distribution and Demand charges to
8	\$0.04701 and \$0.94263, respectively. We are not proposing a change in the
9	minimum rates for either one of these services.

10

11

VII. GENERAL RULES AND REGULATIONS

12

- Q. What revisions are being proposed in the Company's General Rules
 AND Regulations tariffs?
- 15 A. The Company is proposing revisions to Section 5.3, Winter Construction 16 Charges, of the General Rules and Regulations. These costs have not been 17 revised since the Company's 2004 rate case.

18

19

A. Winter Construction Charges – Section 5.3

- 20 Q. What are Winter Construction Charges?
- A. When a service or main is installed between October 1 and April 15, customers are subject to a winter construction charge if frost is at least six feet deep, snow removal or plowing is required to install service, or burners must be set at the main or underground facilities to install service for the entire length of service
- or gas main installed.

1	Q.	WHEN INSTALLING A JOINT TRENCH FOR GAS AND ELECTRIC FACILITIES, DOES
2		THE COMPANY CHARGE A CUSTOMER WINTER CONSTRUCTION CHARGES FOR
3		BOTH ELECTRIC AND GAS?

4 A. No. If the Company's gas and electric facilities are installed in a joint trench for any portion, the Company will waive the lower of the gas and electric winter construction charges on the joint portion.

7

8 Q. WHAT REVISIONS ARE PROPOSED IN THE WINTER CONSTRUCTION CHARGES?

9 A. There are two components to the Winter Construction Charges, as indicated on 10 Tariff Sheet No. 6-19 of the General Rules and Regulations. The Company is 11 proposing an increase in each as shown in Table 8 below.

12

Table 8
Winter Construction Charges

15

16

17

18

Type	Present Rate	Proposed Rate
Excavation (Per Excavation Unit)	\$400	\$685
Main & Service Extensions (Per Trench Foot)	\$3.00	\$8.90

19

20

21

22

23

24

The cost analysis supporting these proposed rate charges is based on current material, labor, and equipment costs, and is provided on page 3 of Exhibit___(CJB-1), Schedule 10. As a reminder, these costs were last set in our tariff in 2004 and the proposed increase generally reflects inflationary pressure to these costs over more than a decade.

B. Other Revenue Impact

- 2 Q. HAVE YOU INCLUDED INCREASED OTHER REVENUES IN TOTAL REVENUES?
- 3 A. Yes. Other revenues have increased \$56,273 as shown on page 1 of
- 4 Exhibit___(CJB-1), Schedule 10. This increase in revenues is shown with the
- 5 increase in late payment charges on page 5, lines 14 and 15 of Schedule 3 to my
- 6 testimony. It is also shown on Schedules 4 and 6 to my testimony. The
- 7 proposed increase in these charges reduces the proposed increase in retail
- 8 revenues.

9

1

VIII. CONCLUSION

11

10

- 12 Q. Please Briefly Summarize Your Testimony.
- 13 A. The purpose of a CCOSS is to provide a reasonable measure of the contribution
- each class makes to the Company's overall cost of service, with the ultimate goal
- of generating a cost basis from which class revenues and rates can be evaluated
- and refined. The Company has prepared a fully embedded CCOSS, and other
- than some minor allocator updates, this version of the CCOSS adheres to the
- same fundamental methods employed by the Company in its previous rate
- 19 cases.

- The Company's CCOSS is an appropriate rate making tool in this case and was
- used to inform a moderated class revenue apportionment. The Company
- 23 maintained the prior rate design structure but updated rate components to
- collect the required revenue. Finally, the Company has also proposed various
- 25 reasonable changes to its tariffs.

- 1 Q. Does this conclude your testimony?
- 2 A. Yes, it does.

1	STATE OF NORTH DAKOTA
2	BEFORE THE
3	PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION
4	
5	
6	In the Matter of the Application of
7	Northern States Power Company for Authority
8	To Increase Rates for Natural Gas Service) Case No. PU-21
9	In North Dakota)
10	
11	
12	
13	AFFIDAVIT OF
14	Christopher J. Barthol
15	
16	
17	I, the undersigned, being duly sworn, depose and say that the foregoing is the
18	Direct Testimony of the undersigned, and that such Direct Testimony and the
19	exhibits or schedules sponsored by me to the best of my knowledge, information
20	and belief, are true, correct, accurate and complete, and I hereby adopt said testimony
21	as if given by me in formal hearing, under oath.
22	
23	
24	
25	Christopher J. Barthol
26	
27	
28	
29	
30	Subscribed and sworn to before me, this 18 day of August, 2021.
31	
32	
33	Sho D. pless
34	Notary Public STEVEN DELMONT SPIESS NOTARY PUBLIC
35	My Commission Expires: MINNESOTA My Commission Expires Jan. 31, 2025
36	

Statement of Qualifications and Experience Christopher J. Barthol

OVERVIEW

My responsibilities at Xcel Energy include Class Cost of Service Studies conducted in support of the Company's rate cases and providing pricing function support and other related analyses for the utility operating subsidiaries of Xcel Energy.

PROFESSIONAL EXPERIENCE

Principal Pricing Analyst; Xcel Energy, NSPM	2017 – Present
Senior Regulatory Analyst; Xcel Energy, Xcel Energy Services	2015 - 2017
Pricing and Cost-of-Service Analyst; PacifiCorp	2013 - 2015
Associate Pricing and Cost-of-Service Analyst; PacifiCorp	2011 - 2013
United States Marine Corps Machine Gunner	2000 - 2004

EDUCATIONAL BACKGROUND

Purdue University; MS Agricultural Economics	2010
Saint Cloud State University; BA Economics	2008

Northern States Power Company Natural Gas Utility - State of North Dakota Guide to the Class Cost of Service Study Case No. PU-21-___ Exhibit___(CJB-1), Schedule 2 Page 1 of 14

Guide to the Gas Class Cost of Service Study (CCOSS) Northern States Power Company Northern States Power Company Natural Gas Utility - State of North Dakota Guide to the Class Cost of Service Study Case No. PU-21-___ Exhibit___(CJB-1), Schedule 2 Page 2 of 14

I. Overview

The purpose of the Northern States Power Company (NSP) gas Class Cost of Service (CCOSS) is to allocate *joint* (e.g.) and *common* costs to the designated "classes" of service such as residential, commercial, interruptible, and transport. For example, distribution mains costs are "joint" between time periods and overhead costs such as management, are "common" to multiple functions, such as production, storage, transmission, and distribution. The CCOSS also assigns *direct* costs (e.g. purchased gas expenses), that may be associated with providing service to a particular customer from a specific class of service. The objective of the CCOSS is to make these cost *allocations* and *assignments* based on identifiable service requirements (e.g. Dth commodity usage and design day requirements), which are the drivers of the costs.

The two basic types of costs are; (1) capital costs associated with investment in production, storage, transmission and distribution facilities and (2) on-going expenses such as purchased gas, labor costs and numerous other operating expenses. The end result is an allocation of the total utility costs (i.e. the revenue requirements) to customer classes according to each class' share of the capacity, commodity, and customer service requirements.

II. Major Steps of the Class Cost of Service Study

A class cost of service study begins with a detailed documentation of the numerous budgetary elements of the total revenue requirement for the jurisdiction in question. The detailed jurisdictional revenue requirements are the data inputs to the CCOSS. At a high level, the CCOSS process consists of the following three (3) basic steps:

- 1. <u>Functionalization</u> The identification of each cost element as one of the six basic utility service "functions." The four main categories are production, storage, transmission, and distribution. There are also two other categories for general and common plant/expenses.
- 2. <u>Classification</u> The classification of the functionalized costs based on the billing component/determinant that each is associated with (e.g. Dths of demand, Dths of commodity usage or number of customers).
- 3. <u>Allocation</u> The allocation of the functionalized and classified costs to customer classes, based on each class' respective service requirements (e.g. Dths of demand, Dths of commodity usage and the number of customers, expressed in terms of a percentage of the total jurisdiction requirement).

III. Step 1: Functionalization

Functionalization is the process of associating each of the numerous detailed elements of the total revenue requirement with functions (and sometimes sub-functions) of the gas utility system. Costs must first be functionalized because each class' service requirement tends to have different relative impacts on each service function. As such, it is necessary to develop separate sub-parts of the total revenue requirement for each function (and sometimes sub-function). The 4 main functions and the associated sub-functions are shown in the table below:

Function	FERC	Sub-Function	Description
	Accounts		•
Production	304, 305, 311, 108(1), 190, 281-283 Net, 710, 733, 735, 736, 742, 759, 840-843, 403, 408.1, 410.1, 411.1, 420	None	Includes costs related to manufacturing, buying, or producing gas. These costs include pipeline or producer gas purchases and producing owned or peaking gas. Also includes operation and maintenance expenses.
Storage	360-363, 108(5), 190, 281-283 Net, 403, 408, 410.1, 411.1, 420	None	Includes costs related to storing off- peak gas for use during the winter- peaking months. Also includes operation and maintenance expenses.
Transmission	365-371, 108(7), 190, 281-283 Net, 107, 850-865, 403, 408.1, 410.1, 411.1, 420	None	Includes costs associated with transporting gas from interstate pipelines to the Company's distribution system. These included capital costs associated with transmission mains as well as operations and maintenance expenses associated with town border stations.
Distribution	374-376, 378- 381, 383, 108(8), 281- 283 Net, 107, 871, 874, 875, 877-881, 885, 887, 889, 891, 892, 403, 408, 410.1, 411.1, 420	"Customer" portion of the Distribution Mains "Demand" portion of Distribution Mains	Includes the customer-related capital and operating costs associated with delivering gas to customers (distribution mains and services, customer services, meters, regulators) Includes the demand-related capital and operating costs associated with delivering gas to customers (distribution mains and services, customer services, meters, regulators)

IV. Step 2: Cost Classification

The second step in the CCOSS process is to <u>classify</u> the functionalized costs as being associated with a measurable customer service requirement which gives rise to the costs. The 3 principle service requirements or billing components are:

1. Demand – Costs that are driven by customers' maximum dekatherm ("Dth") demand.

- 2. Commodity Costs that are driven by customers' energy or dekatherm ("Dth") requirements.
- 3. Customer Costs that are related to the number of customers served.

The table below shows how each of the functional and sub-functional costs was classified:

Function/Sub-Function	Cost Classification		
	Demand	Customer	Commodity
Production	X		X
Storage	X		
Transmission	X		
Distribution (Customer-Related)		X	
Distribution (Demand-Related)	X		

As shown in the table above, distribution costs are classified as both "demand" and "customer" related. Costs of these sub-functions are driven by **both** the number of customers on the distribution system and the capacity requirements they place on the system. The Company utilizes a minimum system methodology for determining the portion of costs that are demandand customer-related.

The Minimum Distribution System method involves comparing the cost of the minimum size of distribution mains used, to the cost of the actual sized facilities installed. The cost of the minimum size facilities determines the "customer" component of total costs, and the "capacity" cost component is the difference between total installed cost and the minimum sized cost. The table below shows the classification of distribution main costs.

Cost	Customer	Demand
Distribution Costs	66.1%	33.9%

V. Step 3: Cost Allocation to Customer Class (Assignment of Costs to Customer Classes)

The third step in the CCOSS process is allocation, which is the process of assigning (allocating or directly assigning) functionalized and classified costs to customer classes. Generally, cost assignment occurs in one of 2 ways:

- Direct Assignment A small but sometimes important portion of costs can be directly assigned to a specific customer of a particular customer class, because these costs can be exclusively identified as providing service to a particular customer. An example of a directly assigned cost is purchased gas expenses.
- Allocation Most gas utility costs are incurred common or jointly in providing service to all
 or most customers and classes. Therefore, allocation methods must be developed for each
 functionalized and classified cost component. The allocation method is based on the
 particular measures of service that is indicative of what drives the costs.
 - Class allocators (sometimes called allocation strings) are simply a "string" of class percentages that sum to 100%.
 - ➤ There are 2 types of allocators:

Northern States Power Company Natural Gas Utility - State of North Dakota Guide to the Class Cost of Service Study

	Case No. PU-21
Exhibit_	(CJB-1), Schedule 2
	Page 5 of 14

- External Allocators –These are allocators that are based on data from outside the CCOSS model (e.g. design day demands, metering and customer servicerelated cost ratios). In general, there are 3 types of external allocators:
 - Capacity –related (sometimes referred to as Demand) allocators such as:
 - o Design Day Demands each firm class' usage in extreme peaking conditions
 - o Excess Design Day the portion of design day demand in excess of average daily sales
 - □ Commodity-related allocators such as:
 - o Sales W/Transp Forecasted sales, including forecasted transportation
 - o Sales W/o Transp Forecasted sales without forecasted transportation
 - □ Customer-related allocators
 - o Number of customers
 - o Weighted number of customers, where the weights are based on cost of meters, services, billing, etc.

Details on the external allocators used in the CCOSS model are shown in Exhibit___(CJB-1), Schedule 3, Page 10.

- Internal Allocators These are allocators based on combinations of costs already allocated to the classes using external allocators. These internal allocators are used to assign certain costs, which are most appropriately associated with and assigned to classes by some combination of other primary service requirements, such as Dths demand, Dths of energy or the number of customers. Examples of internal allocators include:
 - □ Average and Peak portion of mains costs that are not allocated on customers
 - ☐ Mains, Overall total effect of mains allocated on customers, sales with transport, and excess design day
 - □ Prod-Stor-Trans-Distr Total production, storage, transmission, and distribution from original plant investment

Details on the development of the internal allocators used in the CCOSS model are shown in Exhibit___(CJB-1), Schedule 3, Page 9.

VI. Customer Class Definitions

Ideally, there would be no customer class groupings and cost allocation would reflect the unique costs of each individual customer. Because this is not possible, it is necessary to develop a cost study process that identifies costs of service for groups of customers ("classes") where the customers of the class have similar cost/service characteristics. The basic classes of service employed in the Company's CCOSS are the following:

- 1. Residential
- 2. Commercial Firm
- 3. Small Interruptible
- 4. Large Interruptible

VII. Organization of the CCOSS Model

The CCOSS model consists of numerous worksheets which show costs by customer class in Total (as shown on the worksheet tab labeled "Tot") and at the following more detailed levels including Billing Unit, Function and Sub-function as shown below (the label of the worksheet tab in shown in parenthesis below):

- 1. Billing Unit:
 - a. Demand (Dem)
 - b. Customer (Cus)
 - c. Commodity (Com)
- 2. Function and Associated Sub-Function
 - a. Demand (Dem)
 - a) Base (Base)
 - b) Seasonal (Seas)
 - c) Peak Shaving (Peak)

In the CCOSS spreadsheet there is a separate worksheet tab for each of the above billing units, functions and sub-functions. This multi-level breakdown of costs is useful for designing rates as well as for determining class revenue responsibilities.

VIII. CCOSS Calculations

Listed below are important calculations that are part of the CCOSS model. These calculations occur at the "TOT" layer of the CCOSS as well as each of the "sub-layers" for each billing component, function and sub-function. Showing results at the more detailed billing component, function and sub-function levels is important for rate design purposes.

A. Rate Base Calculation

Rate Base = Original Plant in Service – Accumulated Depreciation Reserve – Accumulated Deferred Income Tax + Additions to Net Plant

The above rate base calculation occurs on "TOT" layer as well as each function/sub-function layer.

B. Revenue Requirements Calculation (Class Cost Responsibility)

The Revenue Requirements Calculation (sometimes referred to as the "Backwards Revenue Requirement Calculation) is used to calculate "cost" responsibility for each customer class. This has to be done within the CCOSS model because the JCOSS model does it only at the total jurisdiction level, not by class. The class "cost" responsibility is based on the same return on rate base for each class that is equal to the overall proposed rate of return. In other words, class revenues requirements are calculated to provide the same return on rate base for each customer class. This calculation occurs on the "TOT" layer as well as for each function, sub-function, and

Northern States Power Company Natural Gas Utility - State of North Dakota Guide to the Class Cost of Service Study Case No. PU-21-___ Exhibit___(CJB-1), Schedule 2 Page 7 of 14

billing component after all expenses and rate base items have been allocated. As such, class cost responsibility is available for each function, sub-function, and billing component. This analysis serves a starting point for rate design. The formula is shown below:

Retail Revenue Requirement = Expenses (less off-setting credits from Other Operating Revenues)

+
(((% Return on Invest x Rate Base) - AFUDC - Fed Credits) x 1 / (1 - Fed T) - Fed Section
199 Deduc x Fed T/(1-Fed T) - State Credits) x 1 / (1 - State T)
+

(Tax Additions – Tax Deductions) x Tax Rate / (1-Tax Rate)

Where:

Tax Rate = $1 - (1 - \text{State T}) \times (1 - \text{Fed T})$

Expenses = O&M + Book Depreciation + Real Estate & Property Tax + Payroll Tax + Net Investment Tax Credit - Other Retail Revenue - Other Oper. Revenue

Tax Additions = Book Depreciation + Deferred Inc Tax + Net Inv Tax Credit + Other Misc Expenses.

Tax Deductions = Tax Depreciation + Interest Expense + Other Tax Timing Diff

C. Total Return and Return on Rate Base (Based on Class Revenue Responsibility)

After rates have been designed and each class' "revenue" responsibility has been determined, the model calculates total return and return on rate base using the following formulas. These calculations are performed at both present and proposed rate levels.

Total \$ Return = Revenue – O&M Expenses – Book Depr.

- Real Estate & Property Taxes Provision for Deferred Inc Taxes Inv. Tax Credits
- State & Federal Income Taxes + AFUDC

Percent Return on Rate Base = Total \$ Return / \$ Rate Base

After rates have been designed, the return on rate base is typically different for each customer class. In other words, the resulting class "revenue" responsibility differs from class "cost" responsibility.

IX. Allocator Descriptions

In the table below, the Name column briefly describes what the allocator is, and the Derivation column describes how the allocator was created. The E/I column tells whether an allocator is external or internal. (An external allocator is one that was prepared outside of the CCOSS. An internal allocator is created within the CCOSS by combining the results of external allocators and / or other internal allocators.) The Components column indicates to which billing component(s) the allocator applies, including possibly the two demand subcomponents. (C=Customer, D=Demand, E=Energy, B=Base Demand, S=Seasonal Demand and P=Peak

Case No. PU-21-___ Exhibit___(CJB-1), Schedule 2 Page 8 of 14

Shaving Demand). Most lines of this table show normal allocators that first spread dollars to class and then spread each class amount to billing and subcomponents. But some allocators, such as Present Retail Revenue, only spread dollars to class. And a few other allocators, such as Mod Present Revenue, only spread dollars to billing component. (These latter allocators are only used after dollars have already been spread to class-by-class allocators.) Such two-stage allocations are indicated in the Alloc column of the CCOSS with a semi-colon (e.g., "Pres Rev; Mod Pres Rev").

Name	Derivation	E/I	Components
	Average class percents from the Design Day and		
1/2 Dsgn Day, 1/2 Ener	Sales, W/ Transp allocators	Int	DE- P
1/2 Mod Rt Bs, 1/2 Mod			
Pres Rv (Component	Average class percents from Mod Pres Rev and		
only)	Mod Rate Base column allocators	Int	CDE-BSP
1/2 Rt Base, 1/2 Pres	Average class percents from the Rate Base and		
Rev; (Class only)	Present Retail Revenue allocators	Int	
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	Total effect of mains allocated on excess design		
Average and Peak	day and average sales	Int	D -BS
	Forecasted customers, weighted by the typical cost		
Cust Inform Study	to serve each class	Ext	C -
Customers	Forecasted customers	Ext	C -
CWIP	Construction Work In Process	Int	CD -BSP
	Each firm class' participation in extreme peak		
Design Day	conditions	Ext	D - P
	Distribution O&M expenses, excluding		
Dist Exp, w/o Sup & Eng	Supervision & Engineering	Int	CDE-BSP
F,,	Total original investment in mains, services,		
Distribution Plant	meters and regulators	Int	CD -BS
Diotilo deloti i mit	The portion of Design Day in excess of average	1110	GE 20
Excess Design Day	daily sales	Ext	D - P
Gas Plant In Service	Total original capital investments	Int	D - P CD-BSP
Labor	Total of various labor-related expenses	Int	CDE-BSP
Late Pay Penalties (Class	Total of various labor related expenses	1110	CDE DOI
only)	Late pay penalties	Ext	
(Jiny)	Total effect of mains allocated on customers, sales		
Mains, Overall	with transport & excess design day	Int	CD -BS
	Customer count, weighted by relative cost of each		
Meter & Regul Study	class' average meter and regulator	Ext	C -
Mod Present Reven	Present Retail Revenue, w/o Gross Earnings, Late	13110	
(Component only)	Pay, etc.	Int	CDE-BSP
Mod Rate Base	Column version of Rate Base excluding Working	1110	GBE BOI
(Component only)	Cash	Int	CDE-BSP
(component only)	Total O&M expense, less rate case expense and	1110	GDL 201
Modified O&M Expense	various Admin & General expenses	Int	CDE-BSP
Net Plant	Plant In Service, minus Accumulated Depreciation	Int	CD -BSP
Other Production	Miscellaneous production expenses for LPG,	1116	GD 1001
Expense	LNG, etc.	Int	DE- P
Present Retail Rev (Class	1110,000	1116	DL 1
only)	Forecasted present revenue	Ext	
Oiny)	Total Production, Storage, Transmission and	LΔt	
Prod-Stor-Tran-Dis	Distribution, from original plant investment	Int	CD -BSP
1100-001-11411-1713	Rate Base (Plant in Svc, less Accumulated	1111	CD -D01
Rate Base	Deprec, plus and minus other adjustments)	Int	CDE-BSP
Rate Dase	Forecasted customers, weighted by typical cost to	1111	CDE-DOI
Record & Coll Study	provide billing records and collections	Ext	С -
Rt Base, w/o Work Cash	Rate base, excluding working cash	Int	CDE-BSP
Rt Dase, w/O WOIK Cash	rate base, excluding working cash	1111	CDE-D91

Name	Derivation	E/I	Components
	Forecasted sales, including forecasted		
Sales, W/ Transp	transportation	Ext	E-
	Forecasted sales, w/o forecasted CIP-exempt		
Sales, W/o CIP Exempt	sales	Ext	E-
Sales, W/o Transp	Forecasted sales, w/o forecasted transportation	Ext	E-
	Customer count, weighted by relative cost of each		
Service Study	class' average service	Ext	C -
	Transmission and Distribution plant (original		
Tran & Distrib	investment)	Int	CD -BS
	Forecasted customers, weighted by the typical cost		
Uncollectibles Study	of each class' uncollectibles	Ext	C -

X. Allocator Index

The following table lists all the CCOSS allocators, in alphabetical order. If a given allocator is used multiple times within the CCOSS, those occurrences are further sorted by page and line number. Most allocators are used to spread dollars both to class and then billing component. But as indicated parenthetically, some allocators are used only for class allocations or only for billing component allocations.

Allocator	Category	Item	Page	Line
1/2 Dsgn Day, 1/2 Ener	Pres Other Oper Rev	Other - Miscellaneous	5	12
	Other Production Exp	Misc. LNG Op Exp	5	27
Liter	Distribution O&M Exp	Dispatching	5	36
		Injuries and Claims	6	16
		General Advertising	6	19
1/2 Rt Base, 1/2 Pres Rev (Class only)	Admin & General	Misc General Exp	6	20
rev (diass only)		Rents	6	21
		Maint of Gen Plt	6	22
	Dlant in Couries	Transmission Plant	3	3
	Plant in Service	Regulator Stations	3	4
	Accum Depr Rsv	Transmission Plant	3	18
		Regulator Stations	3	19
	Accum Defer IT	Transmission Plant	3	31
		Regulator Stations	3	32
	CWID	Transmission Plant	4	3
A	CWIP	Regulator Stations	4	4
Average and Peak	Transmiss O&M Exp	Transmission Expense	5	29
	Distribution O&M Exp	Regulator Stations	5	30
	D 1 D	Transmission Plant	6	34
	Book Deprec	Regulator Stations	6	35
	D1 Datata % Duam T	Transmission Plant	7	3
	Rl Estate & Prop Tax	Regulator Stations	7	4
	Provis-Defer Inc Tax	Transmission Plant	7	17
	FIOVIS-Deter IIIC Tax	Regulator Stations	7	18

Allocator	Category	Item	Page	Line
	Investment Tax Credit	Transmission Plant	7	31
Average and Peak		Regulator Stations	7	32
(cont.)	T D 9 D1	Transmission Plant	8	3
	Tax Depr & Removal	Regulator Stations	8	4
Cust Inform Study	Cust Acctg & Inform	Asst Expense (w/o CIP)	6	6
	Plant in Service	Mains - Minimum System	3	5
		Connection Charges	5	4
	Duos Othon Onon Pov	Return Check Charges	5	5
	Pres Other Oper Rev	Connect Smart	5	6
		Incr Misc Serv	5	14
		Other Property & Equipment	5	35
Customers	Distribution O&M Exp	Customer Installations	5	37
		Other Distribution	5	38
		Acct Superv	6	1
	Court A cotto 9 Informa	Acct Meter Read	6	2
	Cust Acctg & Inform	Acct Misc	6	5
		Serv Instruct Adver	6	7
	Labor Allocator	Customer Accounting	8	31
		Cust Serv & Inform	8	32
	Pres Other Oper Rev	Contr In Aid Cons Tax Gr-Up	5	11
CWIP	Income Tax Additions	Avoided Tax Interest	8	17
	AFUDC	Total AFUDC	8	26
	DI C C	Production Plant (LPG)	3	1
	Plant in Service	Storage Plant (LNG)	3	2
	4 D B	Production Plant (LPG)	3	16
	Accum Depr Rsv	Storage Plant (LNG)	3	17
		Production Plant (LPG)	3	29
	Accum Defer IT	Storage Plant (LNG)	3	30
	CIWITA	Production Plant (LPG)	4	1
	CWIP	Storage Plant (LNG)	4	2
Design Day		Interchange Gas	5	7
		Other Gas Revenue	5	8
	Pres Other Oper Rev	Ltd Firm Sales - Rsrvs & Vols	5	9
		LP Sales to Others - MN	5	10
	Devel 10 E	Propane	5	21
	Purchased Gas Exp	Limited Firm	5	22
	04 P 1 2 F	Other Purchased Gas	5	24
	Other Production Exp	Misc. LPG Op Exp	5	25

Allocator	Category	Item	Page	Line
	D1- D	Production Plant (LPG)	6	32
	Book Deprec	Storage Plant (LNG)	6	33
	DIE O D T	Production Plant (LPG)	7	1
	Rl Estate & Prop Tax	Storage Plant (LNG)	7	2
	D DCIT	Production Plant (LPG)	7	15
Design Day (cont.)	Provis-Defer Inc Tax	Storage Plant (LNG)	7	16
	I A T C TA	Production Plant (LPG)	7	29
	Investment Tax Credit	Storage Plant (LNG)	7	30
	T D 0 D 1	Production Plant (LPG)	8	1
	Tax Depr & Removal	Storage Plant (LNG)	8	2
	Labor Allocator	Transmission	8	37
D'	D 1 10 F	Commodity	5	19
Direct Assign	Purchased Gas Exp	Demand	5	20
Direct Assign (Class	Pres Retail Revenue	Present Retail Rev	5	1a
only)	Prop Retail Revenue	Proposed Retail Rev	5	1b
Dist Exp, w/o Sup &	Distribution O&M Exp	Supervision & Engineering	5	39
Eng	Labor Allocator	Distribution	8	33
Excess Design Day	Plant in Service	Mains - Excess Capacity	3	7
	Accum Defer IT	Non-Plant Related	3	41
	Non-Plt Asset-Liab	Non-Plant Assets & Liab	4	16
		Pension & Benefit- Direct	6	10
		Salaries	6	11
	Admin & General	Office & Supplies	6	12
		Admin Transfer Credit	6	13
Labor		Outside Services	6	14
		Incentive Compensation	6	15
	Cust Service & Info	Amortizations	6	28
	Tot Rl Est & Prop Tax	Payroll Taxes	7	13
	Provis-Defer Inc Tax	Non-Plant Related	7	27
	Inc Tax Deductions	Other Timing Differences	8	21
		Meals	8	22
	Pres Other Oper Rev	Late Pay Penalties	5	3
Late Pay (Class only)	Prop Other Oper Rev	Incr Late Pay - Proposed	5	15

Allocator	Category	Item	Page	Line
	Accum Depr Rsv		3	20
	Accum Defer IT		3	33
	CWIP		4	5
	Distribution O&M Exp		5	31
Mains, Overall	Book Deprec	Mains	6	36
	Rl Estate & Prop Tax]	7	5
	Provis-Defer Inc Tax		7	19
	Investment Tax Credit		7	33
	Tax Depr & Removal]	8	5
	Di di C	Meters	3	10
	Plant in Service	House Regulators	3	11
	4 D D	Meters	3	22
	Accum Depr Rsv	House Regulators	3	23
	A D C 771	Meters	3	35
	Accum Defer IT	House Regulators	3	36
	OWATE	Meters	4	7
	CWIP	House Regulators	4	8
	Distribution O&M Exp	Meters	5	33
16 a D 10 1		House Regulators	5	34
Meter & Regul Study	Book Deprec	Meters	6	38
		House Regulators	6	39
	Rl Estate & Prop Tax	Meters	7	7
		House Regulators	7	8
	Provis-Defer Inc Tax	Meters	7	21
		House Regulators	7	22
	I	Meters	7	35
	Investment Tax Credit	House Regulators	7	36
	T D a D 1	Meters	8	7
	Tax Depr & Removal	House Regulators	8	8
Modified O&M Expense	Working Cash	Total Working Cash	4	35
	Accum Defer IT	Accumulated Deferred Tax	3	40
Net Plant	Admin & General	Property Insurance	6	9
	Provis-Defer Inc Tax	Tax Benefit Transfers	7	26
	Tax Depr & Removal	Tax Benefit Transfers	8	12
Other Production Exp	Labor Allocator	Production	8	35
	Admin 8 C 1	Regulatory Comm Exp	6	17
Present Rev (Class only)	Admin & General	Duplicate Charge Credit	6	18
	Amortizations	Rate Case Exp Amort	6	26

Allocator	Category	Item	Page	Line
	Plant in Service	General Plant	3	13
	Traint in octvice	Common Plant	3	14
	A aguar Dona Barr	General Plant	3	25
	Accum Depr Rsv	Common Plant	3	26
	Accum Defer IT	General Plant	3	38
	Accum Defer 11	Common Plant	3	39
	CWIP	General & Common Plant	4	9
	Book Deprec	General Plant	6	41
Prod-Stor-Tran-Dis	Воок Вергее	Common Plant	6	42
	Rl Estate & Prop Tax	General Plant	7	10
	Ki Estate & 110p Tax	Common Plant	7	11
	Provis-Defer Inc Tax	General Plant	7	24
	1 tovis-Deter file Tax	Common Plant	7	25
	Investment Tax Credit	General Plant	7	38
	mvestment Tax Credit	Common Plant	7	39
	T D 9 D 1	General Plant	8	10
	Tax Depr & Removal	Common Plant	8	11
Record & Coll Study	Cust Acctg & Inform	Acct Recrds & Coll	6	3
	Plant in Service	Mains - Average Capacity	3	6
Sales, W/ Transp	Gas In Storage	Total Gas in Storage	4	15
1	Amortizations	MN Energy Policy Rider	6	25
	Sales Expense	Total Sales Expense	6	29
Sales, W/o CIP Exempt	Amortizations	CIP / DSM Amortization	6	24
Sales, W/o Transp	Miscellaneous	Fuel	4	19
Saics, W/O Transp	Other Prod Expense	MGP	5	26
	Plant in Service		3	9
	Accum Depr Rsv		3	21
	Accum Defer IT		3	34
	CWIP		4	6
Service Study	Distribution O&M Exp	Services	5	32
Service Study	Book Deprec	Services	6	37
	Rl Estate & Prop Tax		7	6
	Provis-Defer Inc Tax		7	20
	Investment Tax Credit		7	34
	Tax Depr & Removal	<u> </u>	8	6
	Material & Supply	Materials & Supplies	4	11
Tran & Distrib	Minggll	Prepay: Insurance	4	17
	Miscellaneous	Prepay: Miscellaneous	4	18
Uncollectibles Study	Cust Acctg & Inform	Acct Uncollect	6	4

XI. Class Cost of Service Table of Contents

Page 1.	Summary of Rate Base and Income Statement
Page 2.	Equal vs Present Return
Page 3.	Plant in Service, Accumulated Depreciation Reserve, and Subtractions to
J	Net Plant
Page 4.	Additions to Plant
Page 5.	Operating Revenue and Operations and Maintenance Expenses
Page 6.	Operations and Maintenance Expenses and Book Depreciation
Page 7.	Real Estate and Property Taxes, Provision - Deferred Income Tax, and
S	Investment Tax Credit
Page 8.	Tax Depreciation and Removal, Present Return, AFUDC, and Labor
S	Allocator
Page 9.	Internal Allocators
Page 10.	External Allocators
Page 11.	Capital Structure and Tax Rates

Page 1 contains a summary of the allocated rate base and income statement.

Page 2 contains the revenue deficiency/excess by class assuming each class has an equal return on rate base. It also shows the classification components (e,g., customer related, capacity related). This can be used to design cost-based intra-class rates for customers. For example, the CCOSS shows the total revenue deficiency for the residential customer class as \$9,036,233 and the cost-based customer charge for residential of \$26.09 per month. The cost classifications (e.g. customer related) are only shown as a total class revenue deficiency. However, the Company does have the same data as below for each cost classification category.

Pages 4 through 8 contain in more detail the components of the rate base and income statement along with the method used to allocate the various cost components. Each item contains a line number along with a description of the item. For those items that use an allocator to split the costs between classes, the next column ("Alloc") shows the name of the allocation method. A value that is not allocated but directly assigned to each class will contain the designation "Direct." Calculated lines such as subtotals do not have a designation in this column. The remaining columns contain the North Dakota jurisdictional total and the class cost allocations for each item.

Pages 9 and 10 contain external allocators and certain internal allocation percentages.

Page 11 contains certain cost of capital items and tax rates used in the CCOSS.

Case No. PU-21-___ Exhibit___(CJB-1), Schedule 3 **SUMMARY** Page 1 of 11

Ra	te Base	<u>ND</u>	<u>Res</u>	<u>C&I</u>	Sm Int	<u>Lg Int</u>
1	Production	5,340	2,309	3,031	0	0
2	Storage	9,341	4,039	5,302	0	0
3	Transmission	3,909	1,536	2,031	55	287
4	Distribution	181,046	125,142	51,958	977	2,969
5	General	23,219	15,472	7,249	120	379
<u>6</u>	<u>Common</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>
7	Total Plant In Service	222,855	148,497	69,571	1,152	3,635
8	Production	2,375	1,027	1,348	0	0
9	Storage	8,040	3,476	4,563	0	0
10	Transmission	1,686	662	876	24	124
11	Distribution	59,632	41,166	17,374	318	773
12	General	11,241	7,491	3,509	58	183
<u>13</u>	Common	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>
14	Total Depreciation Reserve	82,973	53,822	27,671	399	1,081
15	Net Plant	139,882	94,675	41,900	752	2,555
16	Deductions (Accum Def Inc Tax)	19,783	13,524	5,827	116	315
<u>17</u>	Additions	<u>4,128</u>	<u>1,826</u>	<u>1,645</u>	<u>116</u>	<u>541</u>
18	Rate Base	124,227	82,977	37,718	752	2,780
Inc	come Statement	<u>ND</u>	Res	<u>C&I</u>	Sm Int	<u>Lg Int</u>
19	Present Retail Revenue	67,303	26,797	31,902	2,195	6,409
20	Present Other Oper Rev	550 550	<u>338</u>	211	<u>0</u>	0,403 1
<u>20</u> 21	Present Total Operating Rev	67,853	27,135	32,113	2,195	6,410
۷1	Fresent Total Operating Nev	07,033	27,133	32,113	2,193	0,410
00	Operating & Maint Expenses	10.004	45.000	00.050	4.007	4.000
	Purchased Gas Expense	43,934	15,308	22,058	1,637	4,932
23	Other Purch Gas Exp	0	0	0	0	0
24	Other Production	1,885 387	682	957	61	186
25 26	Transmission Distribution	5,129	152 3,813	201	5 19	28 68
27	Customer Accounting	1,613	1,286	1,229 267	43	17
28	Customer Service and Information	126	96	207	7	17
29	Administrative and General	2,508	1,622	774	27	84
30	Amortizations; Sales Expense	473	320	<u>135</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>14</u>
31	Total Operating & Maint Exp	56, 055	23, 278	25,641	1,803	5,332
32	Book Depreciation	6,892	4,483	2,284	33	92
33	Taxes Other Than Income Taxes	1,850	814	903	22	112
34	Prov For Deferred Inc Taxes	551	396	145	3	/
<u>35</u>	Net Investment Tax Credit	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>
36	Total Operating Expense	65,348	28,971	28,973	1,861	5,543
<u>37</u>	State and Federal Income Taxes	<u>-469</u>	<u>-1,192</u>	<u>455</u>	<u>75</u>	<u>193</u>
38	Total Expense	64,879	27,780	29,428	1,936	5,735
<u>39</u>	AFUDC (Rev Credit)	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>
40	Total Operating Income	$2,97\overline{4}$	$-64\overline{4}$	2,68 5	25 9	67 4
41	Rate Base	124,227	82,977	37,718	752	2,780
42	Present Return on Rate Base	2.39%	-0.78%	7.12%	34.43%	24.25%
43	Present Return on Common Equity	0.88%	-5.15%	9.87%	61.87%	42.48%
44	Required Return on Rate Base	7.45%	7.45%	7.45%	7.45%	7.45%
45 46	Required Operating Income	9,255	6,182	2,810	56	207
46	Income Deficiency	6,281	6,826	125	-203	-467
47	Revenue Deficiency	8,309	9,036	161	-269	-620
48	Deficiency / Pres Retail Revenue	12.35%	33.72%	0.51%	-12.25%	-9.67%

Case No. PU-21-___ Exhibit___(CJB-1), Schedule 3 **SUMMARY** Page 2 of 11

Eo	ual Return vs Present					
	Operating Revenue Requirement	<u>ND</u>	Res	<u>C&I</u>	Sm Int	<u>Lg Int</u>
1	Return On Rate Base	7.45%	7.45%	7.45%	7.45%	7.45%
2	Equalized Total Retail Rev	75,612	35,833	32,063	1,926	5,789
<u>3</u>	Present Total Retail Revenue	<u>67,303</u>	<u>26,797</u>	<u>31,902</u>	<u>2,195</u>	<u>6,409</u>
4	Revenue Deficiency	8,309	9,036	161	-269	-620
5	Deficiency / Pres Total Retail Rev	12.35%	33.72%	0.51%	-12.25%	-9.67%
	Internal Retail Revenue Regt					
6	Customer Retail Revenue Requirement	20,607	16,222	4,244	100	41
7	Average Monthly Customers	<u>60,991</u>	<u>51,811</u>	9,092	<u>63</u>	<u>25</u>
8	Revenue Requirement \$ / Mo / Cust	28.16	26.09	38.90	132. <u>11</u>	137.59
9	Capacity Retail Revenue Requirement	9,022	3,612	4,806	97	507
<u>10</u>	Annual Dkt Sales	14,027,908	<u>3,969,079</u>	6,494,932	<u>569,913</u>	<u>2,993,984</u>
11	Revenue Requirement \$ / Dkt	0.64	0.91	0.74	0.17	0.17
	Capacity - Sub Classification					
12	Capacity - Base Revenue Requirement	2,332	652	1,076	97	507
13	Capacity - Seasonal Revenue Requirement	4,405	1,976	2,429	0	0
14	Peak Shaving Revenue Requirement	2,284	983	1,301	0	0
15	Base Rev Requirement \$ / Dkt	0.17	0.16	0.17	0.17	0.17
16 17	Seasonal Rev Requirement \$ / Dkt	0.31 0.16	0.50	0.37 0.20	0.00	0.00
17	Peak Shave Rev Requirement \$ / Dkt	0.16	0.25	0.20	0.00	0.00
18	Energy Retail Revenue Requirement	1,973	630	943	92	308
19	Revenue Requirement \$ / Dkt	0.14	0.16	0.15	0.16	0.10
20	Total Internal Retail Revenue Requirement	31,602	20,464	9,992	289	857
21	Revenue Requirement \$ / Dkt	2.25	5.16	1.54	0.51	0.29
22	Revenue Requirement \$ / Mo / Cust	43.18	32.91	91.59	381.68	2,855.15
	External Retail Revenue Reqt					
23	Capacity Revenue Requirement	9,398	3,910	5,487	0	0
24 24	Energy Revenue Requirement	34,537	11,397	16,571	1,637	<u>4,932</u>
25	Total External Revenue Requirement	43,934	15,308	22,058	1,637	4,932
		-,	-,	,	,	,
26	Cap Revenue Requirement \$ / Dkt	0.67	0.99	0.84	0.00	0.00
<u>27</u>	Ener Revenue Requirement \$ / Dkt	<u>2.46</u>	<u>2.87</u>	<u>2.55</u>	<u>2.87</u>	<u>1.65</u>
28	Tot Revenue Requirement \$ / Dkt	3.13	3.86	3.40	2.87	1.65
	Total Peteil Payanus Part					
20	Total Retail Revenue Reqt	20 607	16 222	4 244	100	11
29 30	Customer Revenue Requirement Capacity Revenue Requirement	20,607 18,419	16,222 7,522	4,244 10,293	100 97	41 507
31	Energy Revenue Requirement	36,510	12,027	10,293 17,513	1,728	5,241
32	Total Revenue Requirement	75,536	35,771	32,050	1,926	5,789
33	Customer Revenue Reqt \$ / Dkt	1.47	4.09	0.65	0.18	0.01
34	Demand Revenue Reqt \$ / Dkt	1.31	1.90	1.58	0.17	0.17
<u>35</u>	Energy Revenue Reqt \$ / Dkt	<u>2.60</u>	<u>3.03</u>	<u>2.70</u>	<u>3.03</u>	<u>1.75</u>
36	Total Revenue Reqt \$ / Dkt	5.38	9.01	4.93	3.38	1.93
_	an and Detume ve Decemb					
	oposed Return vs Present	75.040	00.070	05.000	0.444	7.050
<u>37</u> 38	Proposed Total Retail Revenue Revenue Deficiency	<u>75,612</u> 8,309	30,879 4,082	<u>35,269</u> 3,367	<u>2,414</u> 220	<u>7,050</u> 641
39	Deficiency / Pres Total Oper Revenue	12.35%	4,062 15.23%	3,367 10.55%	10.00%	10.00%
03	Delicioney / 1 100 Total Oper Neverlace	12.00/0	10.20/0	10.00/0	10.0070	10.00/0
<u>Pr</u>	oposed Return vs Equal					
40	Revenue Difference	0	-4,955	3,205	489	1,261
41	Difference / Tot Equal Revenue"	0.00%	-13.83%	10.00%	25.37%	21.78%

Case No. PU-21-___ Exhibit___(CJB-1), Schedule 3 RATE BASE Page 3 of 11

Plant in Service 1 Production Plant (LPG) 2 Storage Plant (LNG) 3 Transmission Plant	FERC Accounts 304, 305, 311 360, 361, 362, 363 365, 366, 367, 368, 369, 370, 371	Allocator Design Day Design Day		<u>ND</u> 5,340 9,341 3,909	<u>Res</u> 2,309 4,039 1,536	<u>C&I</u> 3,031 5,302 2,031	Sm Int 0 0 55	<u>Lg Int</u> 0 0 287
Distribution Plant Regulator Stations Mains - Minimum System Mains - Average Capacity Mains - Excess Capacity Mains - Total Services Meters House Regulators Total Distribution Plant	374, 375, 378, 379 376 Split of 376 Split of 376 380 381 383 Subtotal	Average and Peak Average and Peak Customers Sales, W/ Transp Excess Design Day Service Study Meter & Regul Study Meter & Regul Study	66.1% 11.7% <u>22.2%</u>	151 71,961 12,715 <u>24,196</u> 108,873 56,569 11,957 <u>3,496</u> 181,046	59 61,130 3,598 10,906 75,634 39,011 8,076 2,361 125,142	78 10,727 5,887 13,290 29,904 17,269 3,642 1,065 51,958	2 75 517 <u>0</u> 591 222 125 <u>37</u> 977	267 11 29 2,714 0 2,743 67 114 33 2,969
 13 General Plant 14 Common Plant 15 Gas Plant in Service 	390-399 <u>390-399</u> Total	Prod-Stor-Tran-Dis <u>Prod-Stor-Tran-Dis</u>		23,219 <u>0</u> 222,855	15,472 <u>0</u> 148,497	7,249 <u>0</u> 69,571	120 <u>0</u> 1,152	379 <u>0</u> 3,635
Accum Depr Reserve 16 Production Plant (LPG) 17 Storage Plant (LNG) 18 Transmission Plant	FERC Accounts 108(1) 108(5) 108(7)	Design Day Design Day Average and Peak		2,375 8,040 1,686	1,027 3,476 662	1,348 4,563 876	0 0 24	0 0 124
Distribution Plant Regulator Stations Mains Services Meters House Regulators Total Distribution Plant	108(8) 108(8) 108(8) 108(8) 108(8) Sub-total	Average and Peak Mains, Overall Service Study Meter & Regul Study Meter & Regul Study		0 26,961 26,071 5,813 <u>786</u> 59,632	0 18,730 17,979 3,926 <u>531</u> 41,166	0 7,405 7,959 1,770 <u>239</u> 17,374	0 146 102 61 <u>8</u> 318	0 679 31 55 <u>8</u> 773
 25 General Plant 26 Common Plant 27 Total Accum Depr 28 Net Plant 	108(9) <u>108(9)</u> Sub-total Total	Prod-Stor-Tran-Dis Prod-Stor-Tran-Dis		11,241 <u>0</u> 82,973 139,882	7,491 <u>0</u> 53,822 94,675	3,509 <u>0</u> 27,671 41,900	58 <u>0</u> 399 752	183 <u>0</u> 1,081 2,555
Subtractions to Net Plant Accum Deferred Inc Tax Production Plant (LPG) Storage Plant (LNG) Transmission Plant	FERC Accounts 190, 281, 282, 283 Net 190, 281, 282, 283 Net 190, 281, 282, 283 Net	Design Day Design Day Average and Peak		-65 -424 717	-28 -183 282	-37 -240 373	0 0 10	0 0 53
Distribution Plant Regulator Stations Mains Services Meters House Regulators Total Distribution Plant	190, 281, 282, 283 Net 190, 281, 282, 283 Net 190, 281, 282, 283 Net 190, 281, 282, 283 Net 190, 281, 282, 283 Net Sub-total	Average and Peak Mains, Overall Service Study Meter & Regul Study Meter & Regul Study		0 7,798 7,408 2,054 <u>195</u> 17,455	0 5,417 5,109 1,388 <u>132</u> 12,045	0 2,142 2,262 626 <u>59</u> 5,088	0 42 29 21 <u>2</u> 95	0 196 9 20 <u>2</u> 227
38 General Plant39 Common Plant	190, 281, 282, 283 Net 190, 281, 282, 283 Net	Prod-Stor-Tran-Dis Prod-Stor-Tran-Dis		1,873 0	1,248 0	585 0	10 0	31 0
 40 Accumulated Deferred Tax 41 Non-Plant Related 42 Total Subtractions 	283 <u>190 & 282 Net</u> Total	Net Plant <u>Labor</u>		-93 <u>319</u> 19,783	-63 <u>223</u> 13,524	-28 <u>86</u> 5,827	0 <u>2</u> 116	-2 <u>7</u> 315

Case No. PU-21-___ Exhibit___(CJB-1), Schedule 3 RATE BASE Page 4 of 11

<u>Ac</u>	<u>Iditions to Net Plant</u>							
	CWIP	FERC Accounts	<u>Allocator</u>	<u>ND</u>	<u>Res</u>	<u>C&I</u>	Sm Int	<u>Lg Int</u>
1	Production Plant (LPG)		Design Day	4	2	2	0	0
2	Storage Plant (LNG)		Design Day	4	2	2	0	0
3	Transmission Plant	107	Average and Peak	0	0	0	0	0
4	Regulator Stations	107	Average and Peak	0	0	0	0	0
5	Mains	107	Mains Overall	74	51	20	0	2
6	Services		Service Study	0	0	0	0	0
7	Meters		Meter & Regul Study	0	0	0	0	0
8	House Regulators	107	Meter & Regul Study	0	0	0	0	0
<u>9</u>	General & Common Plant	Sub-total	Prod-Stor-Tran-Dis	<u>106</u>	<u>70</u>	<u>33</u> 58	<u>1</u>	<u>2</u> 4
10	Total CWIP	Sub-total		188	125	58	1	4
11	Materials & Supplies	154, 155, 156	Tran & Distrib	150	103	44	1	3
	Gas In Storage							
12	Total Gas in Storage	Total	Sales, W/ Transp	2,098	594	971	85	448
13	Non-Plant Assets & Liab	Total	Labor	1,463	1,025	396	10	32
	<u>Miscellaneous</u>	FERC Accounts						
14	' '	165	Tran & Distrib	0	0	0	0	0
15	Prepay: Miscellaneous	165	Tran & Distrib	-419	-287	-122	-2	-7
<u>16</u>	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	<u>176</u>	Sales, W/o Transp	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u> -2	<u>0</u> -7
17	Total Miscellaneous			-419	-287	-122	-2	-7
	Working Cash							
18	Total Working Cash	Total	Modified O&M Expense	648	266	298	21	62
19	Total Additions	Sub-total		4,128	1,826	1,645	116	541
20	Total Rate Base	Sub-Total		124,227	82,977	37,718	752	2,780
21	Common Rate Base (@ 52.54	1%)		65,269	43,596	19,817	395	1,461
22	Customer Component			86,867	68,338	18,171	235	123
23	Demand Component			34,726	13,870	18,319	406	2,132
24	Energy Component			2,634	770	1,228	111	525

Case No. PU-21-___ Exhibit___(CJB-1), Schedule 3

INCOME STATEMENT

Page 5 of 11

<u>Ор</u>	perating Revenue (Cal Mont	<u>:h)</u>						
	Retail Revenue	100 101 100 101	Allocator	ND 07 000	Res	<u>C&I</u>	Sm Int	Lg Int
1a 1b	Present Retail Rev Proposed Retail Rev	480, 481, 482, 484	Direct Assign Direct Assign	67,303 <u>75,536</u>	26,797 30,817	31,902 <u>35,256</u>	2,195 2,414	6,409 7,050
2	Retail Rev Increase		Direct Assign	8,234	4,020	3,354	<u>2,414</u> 219	<u>7,050</u> 641
	Other Operating Revenue							
3	Late Pay Penalties	488, 495	Late Pay; Mod Pres Rev	155	116	38	0	1
4	Connection Charges	488, 495	Customers	114	97	17	0	0
5	Return Check Charges	488, 495	Customers	7	6	1	0	0
6	Connect Smart	488, 495	Customers	3	3	0	0	0
7	Interchange Gas	488, 495	Design Day	63	27	36	0	0
8	Other Gas Revenue	488, 495	Design Day	90	39	51	0	0
9	Ltd Firm Sales - Rsrvs & Vols	488, 495	Design Day	120	52	68	0	0
10	LP Sales to Others - MN	488, 495	Design Day	0	0	0	0	0
11	Contr In Aid Cons Tax Gr-Up	488, 495	CWIP	0	0	0	0	0
<u>12</u> 13	Other - Miscellaneous Tot Other Oper Rev - Pres	488, 495 Sub-total	<u>1/2 Dsgn Day, 1/2 Ener</u>	<u>-2</u> 550	<u>-1</u> 338	<u>-1</u> 211	<u>0</u> 0	<u>0</u> 1
4.4	la an Mina Com.		Customers	50	40	0	0	0
14 15	Incr Misc Serv Incr Late Pay - Proposed		Customers <u>Late Pay: Mod Pres Rev</u>	56	48 1 <i>4</i>	8	0	0
16	Tot Other Oper Rev - Prop		Late Pay, Wou Pies Rev	<u>19</u> 626	<u>14</u> 400	<u>5</u> 224	<u>0</u> 1	<u>0</u> 1
16a	a Total Oper Rev - Present	Total		67,853	27,135	32,113	2,195	6,410
	Total Oper Rev - Proposed	. otal		76,162	31,217	<u>35,479</u>	2,415	7,051
18	Operating Rev Increase			8,309	4,082	3,367	220	641
•		4 - (0)						
<u>Op</u>	peration & Maintenance (Pg		Allaa					
19	Purchased Gas Expense Commodity	FERC Accounts 728, 804, 805, 808, 858	Alloc Direct Assign	34,537	11,397	16,571	1,637	4,932
20	Demand	804, 808, 858	Direct Assign	9,398	3,910	5,487	1,037	4,932
21	Propane	004, 000, 000	Design Day	0,000	0,310	0,407	0	0
22	Limited Firm	728	<u>Design Day</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>
23	Total Purchases	Sub-total		43,934	15,30 8	22,058	1,637	4,932
	Other Production Expense							
24	Other Purchased Gas		Design Day	65	28	37	0	0
25	Misc. LPG Op Exp	710, 733, 735, 736, 742, 759	Design Day	498	215	283	0	0
26	MGP	735	Sales, W/o Transp	1,250	413	600	59	179
<u>27</u>	Misc. LNG Op Exp	840, 841, 842, 843	1/2 Dsgn Day, 1/2 Ener	<u>72</u>	<u>26</u>	<u>37</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>8</u>
28	Total Other Production Expense			1,885	682	957	61	186
29	Transmission Expense	850-865	Average and Peak	387	152	201	5	28
00	Distribution Expense	075 077 000 004	Accompany of LDC 1	22	•	40	2	4
30	Regulator Stations	875, 877, 889, 891	Average and Peak	20	8	10	0	1
31	Mains	874, 887	Mains, Overall	1,926 1,010	1,338	529	10	49 1
33	Services Meters	892 878, 893	Service Study Meter & Regul Study	1,010 -205	696 -138	308 -62	-2	-2
34	House Regulators	878, 893	Meter & Regul Study Meter & Regul Study	-203 27	18	8	0	0
35	Other Property & Equipment	881	Customers	198	168	29	0	0
36	Dispatching	871	1/2 Dsgn Day, 1/2 Ener	108	39	56	2	12
37	Customer Installations	879	Customers	211	179	31	0	0
38	Other Distribution	880	Customers	1,333	1,133	199	1	1
<u>39</u>	Supervision & Engineering	870, 885	Dist Exp, w/o Sup & Eng	<u>502</u>	<u>373</u>	<u>120</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>7</u>
40	Total Distribution Expense	Sub-total		5,129	3,813	1,229	19	68

Exhibit___(CJB-1), Schedule 3 **INCOME STATEMENT**

Page 6 of 11

Case No. PU-21-___

On	peration & Maintenance (P	g 2 of 2)						
<u> </u>	Cust Acctg & Inform	FERC Accounts	Allocator	<u>ND</u>	Res	<u>C&I</u>	Sm Int	<u>Lg Int</u>
1	Acct Superv	901	Customers	4	3	<u> </u>	0	0
2	Acct Meter Read	902	Customers	559	475	83	1	0
3	Acct Recrds & Coll	903	Record & Coll Study	748	572	117	43	17
4	Acct Uncollect	904	Uncollectibles Study	300	234	65	0	0
5	Acct Misc	905	Customers	3	2	0	0	0
6	Asst Expense (w/o CIP)	908	Cust Inform Study	126	96	21	7	1
7	Serv Instruct Adver	909	Customers	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>	0
8	Tot Cust Acctg & Inform		<u></u>	1,73 8	1,381	288	<u>-</u> 51	<u>0</u> 18
	<u>-</u>			·	·			
_	Admin & General							
9	Property Insurance	924	Net Plant	52	35	16	0	1
10	Pension & Benefit-Direct	926	Labor	647	453	175	4	14
11	Salaries	920	Labor	748	524	202	5	17
12	Office & Supplies	921	Labor	534	374	144	4	12
13	Admin Transfer Credit	922	Labor	-428	-300	-116	-3	-9
14	Outside Services	923	Labor	158	110	43	1	3
15	Incentive Compensation	920 + other	Labor	0	0	0	0	0
16	Injuries and Claims	925	1/2 Rt Base, 1/2 Pres Rev;	130	69	50	3	8
17	Regulatory Comm Exp	928	Present Retail Revenue	1	1	1	0	0
18	Duplicate Charge Credit	929	Present Retail Revenue	0	0	0	0	0
19	General Advertising	930	1/2 Rt Base, 1/2 Pres Rev;	3	2	1	0	0
20	Misc General Exp	930	1/2 Rt Base, 1/2 Pres Rev;	29	15	11	1	2
21	Rents	931	1/2 Rt Base, 1/2 Pres Rev;	633	338	246	12	37
<u>22</u>	Maint of Gen Plt	935	1/2 Rt Base, 1/2 Pres Rev;	<u>1</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>
23	Total A & G Expense			2,508	1,622	774	27	84
	Cust Service & Info							
24	CIP/DSM & Amortizations	407.3 + CIP	Sales, W/o CIP Exempt	0	0	0	0	0
25	MN Energy Policy Rider	407	Sales, W/ Transp	0	0	0	0	0
<u> 26</u>	Instructional Advertising	4 <u>07</u>	Present Retail Revenue	<u>24</u>	<u>9</u>	<u>11</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>2</u>
27	Total Customer Service Info	Sub-total	<u> </u>	24	9	<u>11</u> 11	1	<u>2</u> 2
28	Amortizations		Labor	440	308	119	3	10
00	Sales Expense	0.1.0	0.1	4.0	•	_	•	•
<u>29</u>	Sales, Econ Dvlp & Other	<u>912</u>	<u>Sales, W/ Transp</u>	<u>10</u> 10	<u>3</u> 3	<u>5</u> 5	<u>0</u>	<u>2</u> 2
30	Total Sales Expense	Sub-total		10	3	5	0	2
31	Total O&M Expense			56,055	23,278	25,641	1,803	5,332
01	Total Cam Expense			00,000	20,210	20,041	1,000	0,002
Bo	ook Depreciation	FERC Accounts						
32	Production Plant (LPG)	403	Design Day	386	167	219	0	0
33	Storage Plant (LNG)	403	Design Day	469	203	266	0	0
34	Transmission Plant	403	Average and Peak	67	26	35	1	5
	<u>Distribution Plant</u>							_
35	Regulator Stations	403	Average and Peak	0	0	0	0	0
36	Mains	403	Mains, Overall	2,236	1,553	614	12	56
37	Services	403	Service Study	1,790	1,235	547	7	2
38	Meters	403	Meter & Regul Study	398	269	121	4	4
<u>39</u>	House Regulators	403	Meter & Regul Study	<u>97</u>	<u>65</u>	<u>29</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>1</u> 63
40	Total Distribution Plant			4,521	3,122	1,311	24	63
/11	General Plant	403	Prod-Stor-Tran-Dis	1,448	965	452	7	24
41 42	Common Plant	403, 404	Prod-Stor-Tran-Dis					
<u>42</u>	Total Book Deprec	·	<u>1 10u-3t01-11att-D15</u>	6 893	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u> 2 284	<u>0</u> 33	<u>0</u> 92
43	i otai book Deprec	Sub-total		6,892	4,483	2,284	33	92

Case No. PU-21-___ Exhibit___(CJB-1), Schedule 3 INCOME STATEMENT Page 7 of 11

Real Estate & Prop Taxes	FERC Accounts	<u>Allocator</u>	<u>ND</u>	Res	<u>C&I</u>	Sm Int	<u>Lg Int</u>
1 Production Plant (LPG)	408	Design Day	144	62	82	0	0
2 Storage Plant (LNG)	408	Design Day	0	0	0	0	0
3 Transmission Plant	408	Average and Peak	31	12	16	0	2
Distribution Plant							
4 Regulator Stations	408	Average and Peak	1,412	555	734	20	104
5 Mains	408	Mains, Overall	0	0	0	0	0
6 Services	408	Service Study	0	0	0	0	0
7 Meters	408	Meter & Regul Study	0	0	0	0	0
8 House Regulators	408	Meter & Regul Study	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>
9 Total Distribution Plant	Sub-total		1,412	555	734	20	104
10 General Plant	408	Prod-Stor-Tran-Dis	0	0	0	0	0
11 Common Plant	<u>408</u>	Prod-Stor-Tran-Dis	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>
12 Total RI Est & Prop Tax	Sub-total		1,587	629	832	20	106
13 Payroll Taxes	<u>408</u>	<u>Labor</u>	<u> 263</u>	<u>184</u>	<u>71</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>6</u>
14 Tot Non-Income Taxes			1,850	814	903	22	11 2
Provision-Defer Inc Tax	FERC Accounts						
15 Production Plant (LPG)	410.1, 411.1	Design Day	-30	-13	-17	0	0
16 Storage Plant (LNG)	410.1, 411.1	Design Day	-29	-12	-16	0	0
17 Transmission Plant	410.1, 411.1	Average and Peak	-12	-5	- 6	0	-1
17 Hansinission Flain	410.1, 411.1	Average and I eak	-12	-3	-0	O	-1
Distribution Plant	440.4.444.4	Average and Dook	0	0	0	0	0
18 Regulator Stations	410.1, 411.1	Average and Peak	0	0	0	0	0
19 Mains	410.1, 411.1	Mains, Overall	154	107	42	1	4
20 Services	410.1, 411.1	Service Study	243	168	74	1	0
21 Meters	410.1, 411.1	Meter & Regul Study	69	47	21	1	1
22 House Regulators	410.1, 411.1	Meter & Regul Study	<u>12</u>	<u>8</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>0</u> 3	<u>0</u> 5
23 Total Distribution Plant	Sub-total		479	330	141	3	5
24 General Plant	410.1, 411.1	Prod-Stor-Tran-Dis	101	67	31	1	2
25 Common Plant	410.1, 411.1	Prod-Stor-Tran-Dis	0	0	0	0	0
26 Tax Benefit Transfers	410.1, 411.1	Net Plant	0	0	0	0	0
27 Non-Plant Related	<u>410.1, 411.1</u>	<u>Labor</u>	<u>42</u>	<u>29</u>	<u>11</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>1</u>
Tot Prov Defer Inc Tax	Total		5 5 1	396	145	<u>0</u> 3	<u>1</u> 7
Investment Tax Credit	FERC Accounts						
29 Production Plant (LPG)	420	Design Day	0	0	0	0	0
30 Storage Plant (LNG)	420	Design Day	0	0	0	0	0
31 Transmission Plant	420	Average and Peak	0	0	0	0	0
	420	Average and I eak	O	O	O	O	U
Distribution Plant	400	Assertance and David	^	^	^	^	^
32 Regulator Stations	420	Average and Peak	0	0	0	0	0
33 Mains	420	Mains, Overall	0	0	0	0	0
34 Services	420	Service Study	0	0	0	0	0
35 Meters	420	Meter & Regul Study	0	0	0	0	0
36 House Regulators	420	Meter & Regul Study	<u>0</u> 0	<u>0</u> 0	<u>0</u> 0	<u>0</u> 0	<u>0</u> 0
37 Total Distribution Plant	Sub-total		0	0	0	0	0
38 General Plant	420	Prod-Stor-Tran-Dis	0	0	0	0	0
39 Common Plant	<u>420</u>	Prod-Stor-Tran-Dis	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u> 0	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u> 0
40 Net Invest Tax Credit	Sub-total		0	0	0	0	0
41 Total Operating Exp	Sub-total		65,348	28,971	28,973	1,861	5,543
42a Pres Op Inc Before Inc Tax	Total		2,505	-1,836	3,140	334	867
42b Prop Op Inc Before Inc Tax	Total		10,814	2,246	6,507	553	1,508

Case No. PU-21-___
Exhibit___(CJB-1), Schedule 3

INCOME STATEMENT

Page	8	of	1	1

Tax Deprec & Removal Production Plant (LPG) Storage Plant (LNG) Transmission Plant	FERC Accounts Not Applicable Not Applicable Not Applicable	Allocator Design Day Design Day Average and Peak	ND 279 373 43	Res 120 161 17	<u>C&I</u> 158 212 22	<u>Sm Int</u> 0 0 1	Lg Int 0 0 3
Distribution Plant Regulator Stations Mains Services Meters House Regulators Total Distribution Plant	Not Applicable Not Applicable Not Applicable Not Applicable Not Applicable Sub-total	Average and Peak Mains, Overall Service Study Meter & Regul Study Meter & Regul Study	0 2,773 3,069 770 <u>108</u> 6,720	0 1,926 2,116 520 <u>73</u> 4,636	0 762 937 235 <u>33</u> 1,966	0 15 12 8 <u>1</u> 36	0 70 4 7 <u>1</u> 82
 10 General Plant 11 Common Plant 12 Tax Benefit Transfers 13 Total Tax Depreciation 	Not Applicable Not Applicable Not Applicable Total	Prod-Stor-Tran-Dis Prod-Stor-Tran-Dis <u>Net Plant</u>	0 0 <u>2,184</u> 9,598	0 0 <u>1,478</u> 6,413	0 0 <u>654</u> 3,012	0 0 <u>12</u> 49	0 0 <u>40</u> 125
Present Return Inc Tax Additions 14 Total Book Depr Exp 15 Provision for Deferred 16 Net Inv Tax Credit 17 Avoided Tax Interest 18 Total Tax Additions	FERC Accounts from another page from another page from another page Not Applicable Sub-total	CWIP	6,892 550.98 0 <u>52</u> 7,495	4,483 396 0 <u>35</u> 4,914	2,284 145 0 <u>16</u> 2,445	33 3 0 <u>0</u> 36	92 7 0 <u>1</u> 99
Inc Tax Deductions 19 Tax Depr & Removal Exp 20 Debt Interest Expense 21 Other Timing Differences 22 Meals 23 Total Tax Deductions	from another page Calculation Not Applicable	; Mod Rate Base Labor Labor	9,598 2,398 -88 <u>13</u> 11,921	6,413 1,601 -62 <u>9</u> 7,962	3,012 728 -24 <u>4</u> 3,720	49 15 -1 <u>0</u> 63	125 54 -2 <u>0</u> 177
23a Pres Taxable Net Income 23b Prop Taxable Net Income	Calculation		-1,921 6,388	-4,883 -801	1,865 5,232	308 527	789 1,430
24a Pres Inc Tax , @24.40% 24b Prop Inc Tax , @24.40%	Calculation		-468.86 1,559	-1,192 -196	455 1,277	75 129	193 349
25a Pres Preliminary Return 25b Prop Preliminary Return			2,974 9,255	-644 2,441	2,685 5,230	259 425	674 1,159
 26 Total AFUDC 27a Pres Total Return 27b Prop Total Return 28a Pres % Return on Rate Base 28b Prop % Return on Rate Base 	Not Applicable Total Calculation	CWIP ; Mod Rate Base ; Mod Rate Base	0 2,974 9,255 2.39% 7.45%	-644 2,441 -0.78% 2.94%	0 2,685 5,230 7.12% 13.87%	259 425 34.43% 56.52%	0 674 1,159 24.25% 41.68%
29a Pres Common Return 29b Prop Common Return 30a Pres % Ret on Common Rt Bs 30b Prop % Ret on Common Rt Bs			576 6,857 0.88% 10.51%	(2,246) 840 -5.15% 1.93%	1,957 4,502 9.87% 22.72%	244 410 61.87% 103.90%	621 1,105 42.48% 75.66%
Labor Allocator 31 Customer Accounting 32 Cust Serv & Inform 33 Distribution 34 Admin & General 35 Production 36 Sales 37 Transmission 38 Total	FERC Accounts Labor Portion of O&M Accounts	Customers Customers Dist Exp, w/o Sup & Eng Labor w/o A&G Other Production Exp Sales, W/ Transp Design Day	395 9 1,851 1,409 346 1 <u>82</u> 4,093	336 8 1,376 987 125 0 36 2,867	59 1 444 381 176 0 <u>47</u> 1,108	0 0 7 10 11 0 <u>0</u> 28	0 0 25 31 34 0 <u>0</u> 90

Case No. PU-21-___ Exhibit___(CJB-1), Schedule 3 Page 9 of 11

ALLOCATORS

<u>Int</u> 1	ternal Allocators 1/2 Dsgn Day, 1/2 Ener	<u>ND</u> 100.00%	<u>Res</u> 35.77%	<u>C&I</u> 51.53%	<u>Sm Int</u> 2.03%	<u>Lg Int</u> 10.67%
2	1/2 Rt Base, 1/2 Pres Rev; (Only for Class allocations)	100.00%	53.31%	38.88%	1.93%	5.88%
3 4	Average and Peak (Mains) Average and Peak	36,911 100.00%	14,504 39.29%	19,177 51.95%	517 1.40%	2,714 7.35%
5	CWIP	100.00%	66.71%	30.88%	0.50%	1.91%
6 7	Dist Exp, w/o Sup & Eng Dist Exp, w/o Sup & Eng	4,628 100.00%	3,440 74.34%	1,109 23.96%	17 0.36%	62 1.33%
8	Distribution Plant	100.00%	69.12%	28.70%	0.54%	1.64%
9	Gas Plant In Service	100.00%	66.63%	31.22%	0.52%	1.63%
10	Labor	100.00%	70.05%	27.06%	0.68%	2.21%
11	Mains, Overall	100.00%	69.47%	27.47%	0.54%	2.52%
12 13	•	54,794 100.00%	22,536 41.13%	25,201 45.99%	1,784 3.26%	5,274 9.62%
14	Net Plant	100.00%	67.68%	29.95%	0.54%	1.83%
15	Other Production Exp	100.00%	36.16%	50.74%	3.22%	9.88%
16 17	Prod-Stor-Tran-Dis Prod-Stor-Tran-Dis	199,636 100.00%	133,026 66.63%	62,322 31.22%	1,032 0.52%	3,256 1.63%
18	Rate Base	100.00%	66.79%	30.36%	0.61%	2.24%
19 20	,	123,579 100.00%	82,711 66.93%	37,420 30.28%	731 0.59%	2,718 2.20%
21 22	Transmission & Distribution Tran & Distrib	184,955 100.00%	126,678 68.49%	53,989 29.19%	1,032 0.56%	3,256 1.76%
23 24		2,685 100.00%	1,881 70.05%	726 27.06%	18 0.68%	59 2.21%
25	Component Allocators Mod Present Rev	400.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%
26	Mod Rate Base	400.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%
27	1/2 Mod Rt Bs, 1/2 Mod Pres Rv	400.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%

Northern States Power Company Natural Gas Utility - State of North Dakota Class Cost of Service Study (\$000); Test Year 2022

30 Gross Receipts Tax

31 Present Retail Revenue

32 Late Payment Penalty

Case No. PU-21-___
Exhibit___(CJB-1), Schedule 3 **ALLOCATORS** Page 10 of 11

	(+,					9
<u>E</u> 1	<u>Customer-Related</u> Bills	<u>ND</u> 731,886	<u>Res</u> 621,727	<u>C&I</u> 109,101	<u>Sm Int</u> 758	<u>Lg Int</u> 300
2	Meter & Regul Weightings Meter (Wtd Bills)	920,507	1.00 621,727	2.57 280,370	12.70 9,624	29.29 8,786
4 5	Service Weightings Service (Wtd Bills)	901,562	1.00 621,727	2.52 275,225	4.67 3,541	3.56 1,069
6 7	Records & Collect Weightings Records & Collect (Wtd Bills)	813,752	1.00 621,727	1.17 127,398	61.08 46,302	61.08 18,325
8 9	Cust Information Weightings Cust Information (Wtd Bills)	815,433	1.00 621,727	1.25 136,459	63.71 48,289	29.86 8,958
10 11 12 13 14 15	Meter & Regul Study Service Study Record & Coll Study Uncollectibles Study	100.00% 100.00% 100.00% 100.00% 100.00%	84.95% 67.54% 68.96% 76.40% 78.11% 76.24%	14.91% 30.46% 30.53% 15.66% 21.81% 16.73%	0.10% 1.05% 0.39% 5.69% 0.08% 5.92%	0.04% 0.95% 0.12% 2.25% 0.00% 1.10%
16 17 18 19 20	Transportation Dkt Cal Yr Sales Dkt, W/ Trans	12,027,377 2,000,531 34.4% 14,027,908 0 14,027,908	3,969,079 0 3,969,079 0 3,969,079	5,770,711 724,221 6,494,932 0 6,494,932	569,913 0 569,913 0 569,913	1,717,674 1,276,310 2,993,984 0 2,993,984
21 22 23	Sales, W/ Transp	100.00% 100.00% 100.00%	33.00% 28.29% 28.29%	47.98% 46.30% 46.30%	4.74% 4.06% 4.06%	14.28% 21.34% 21.34%
2 ² 25 26 27 28	Avg Daily Firm Dkt, W/ Trans Excess Design Day Design Day	111,568 28,669 82,900 100.00% 100.00%	48,241 10,874 37,367 43.24% 45.07%	63,327 17,794 45,533 56.76% 54.93%	0 0 0 0.00% 0.00%	0 0 0 0.00% 0.00%
29	Miscellaneous (only alloc to class, not component)	67,303	26,797	31,902	2,195	6,409
	0 0 0	400 0001	E0 4004	00 000/	4.0=01	0 4=01

100.00%

100.00%

56.19%

39.82%

36.38%

47.40%

100.00% 74.55% 24.55% 0.21% 0.70%

4.35%

3.26%

2.45%

9.52%

Northern States Power Company Natural Gas Utility - State of North Dakota Class Cost of Service Study (\$000); Test Year 2022 Case No. PU-21-___ Exhibit___(CJB-1), Schedule 3 Page 11 of 11

<u>Ca</u>	pital Structure	<u>Rate</u>	<u>Ratio</u>	Wtd Cost
1	Long Term Debt	4.10%	47.03%	1.93%
<u>2</u>	Short Term Debt	<u>1.09%</u>	0.43%	<u>0.00%</u>
3	Debt Total	4.07%	47.46%	1.93%
4	Preferred Stock	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
<u>5</u>	Common Equity	<u>10.50%</u>	<u>52.54%</u>	<u>5.52%</u>
6	Required Rate of Return		100.00%	7.45%
7	ND Combined State & Fed Tax Rate	24.40%		
8	1 / (1 - Tax Rate) Factor	132.28%		
9	Tax Rate / (1 - Tax Rate) Factor	32.28%		

	Rate	Avg	Dkt	Pro	esent Revenu	ies	Proposed Revenue				Increas	se			
	Code	Cust.	Sales	Base	Fuel	Total	Base	Fuel	Total	Base	%	Fuel	%	Total	%
Firm Service															
Residential	401	51,811	3,969,079	11,489,515	15,307,684	\$26,797,199	\$15,096,434	\$15,720,345	\$30,816,779	\$3,606,919	31.4%	\$412,661	2.7%	\$4,019,580	15.0%
Commercial and Industrial	410	<u>9,092</u>	<u>6,494,932</u>	<u>9,843,987</u>	<u>22,057,904</u>	\$31,901,891	\$12,597,710	\$22,657,878	\$35,255,588	\$2,753,723	<u>28.0%</u>	\$599 , 974	2.7%	\$3,353,697	<u>10.5%</u>
Total Firm Service		60,903	10,464,011	21,333,502	37,365,588	\$58,699,090	\$27,694,144	\$38,378,223	\$66,072,367	\$6,360,642	29.8%	\$1,012,635	2.7%	\$7,373,277	12.6%
Interruptible Service															
Small C&I	404	63	569,913	558,374	1,636,515	\$2,194,889	\$718,605	\$1,695,768	\$2,414,374	\$160,231	28.7%	\$59,253	3.6%	\$219,485	10.0%
Large C&I	405	<u>25</u>	<u>2,993,984</u>	<u>1,476,382</u>	<u>4,932,326</u>	\$6,408,708	\$1,938,780	\$5,110,911	\$7, 049,691	\$462,398	<u>31.3%</u>	\$178 , 585	3.6%	<u>\$640,983</u>	<u>10.0%</u>
Total Interruptible Service		88	3,563,897	2,034,756	6,568,841	\$8,603,597	\$2,657,385	\$6,806,679	\$9,464,064	\$622,629	30.6%	\$237,838	3.6%	\$860,467	10.0%
Total Retail		<u>60,991</u>	<u>14,027,908</u>	<u>23,368,258</u>	<u>43,934,429</u>	<u>\$67,302,687</u>	<u>\$30,351,530</u>	<u>\$45,184,902</u>	<u>\$75,536,431</u>	<u>\$6,983,271</u>	<u>29.9%</u>	\$1,250,473	<u>2.8%</u>	\$8,233,744	<u>12.2%</u>

Other Gas Revenues

Late Pay Penalties	\$155,340	\$174,344	\$19,004 12.2%
Connection Charges	\$113,904	\$170,177	\$56,273 49.4%
Return Check Charges	\$6,516	\$6,516	\$0 0.0%
Connect Smart	\$3,011	\$3,011	\$0 0.0%
Interchange Gas	\$63,229	\$63,229	\$0 0.0%
Other Gas Revenue	\$90,112	\$90,112	\$0 0.0%
Ltd Firm Sales - Rsrvs & Vols	\$120,420	\$120,420	\$0 0.0%
LP Sales to Others - MN	\$0	\$0	\$0 0.0%
Contr In Aid Cons Tax Gr-Up	\$0	\$0	\$0 0.0%
Other - Miscellaneous	<u>-\$2,148</u>	<u>-\$2,148</u>	<u>\$0</u> <u>0.0%</u>
Total Other Gas Revenues	<u>\$550,384</u>	<u>\$625,660</u>	<u>\$75,277</u> <u>13.7%</u>
Total Retail Sales and Other Revenues	<u>\$67,853,071</u>	<u>\$76,162,092</u>	<u>\$8,309,021</u> <u>12.2%</u>

Residential Service

	Units			Pr	ese	ent	Pro	opo	sed	Increase		se
	Bills	Therms		Rate		Revenue	Rate		Revenue		Amount	Percent
Delivery Services Charge	621,727		\$	18.48	\$	11,489,515	\$ 24.28	\$	15,096,434	\$	3,606,919	
Distribution Charge		39,690,789	\$	-	\$	-	\$ -	\$	-	\$	-	
MGP		39,690,789	\$	-	\$	-	\$ 0.01040	\$	412,661	\$	412,661	
Cost of Gas Charge												
Summer (Apr-Oct)		8,443,492	\$	0.33921	\$	2,864,147	\$ 0.33921	\$	2,864,147			
Winter (Nov-Mar)		<u>31,247,297</u>	\$	0.39823	\$	12,443,537	\$ 0.39823	\$	12,443,537			
Total		39,690,789	\$	0.38567	\$	15,307,684	\$ 0.38567	\$	15,307,684	\$	-	
Average Customers	51,811											
			To	tal	\$	26,797,199		\$	30,816,779	\$	4,019,580	15.00%

	Units		Pr	eser	nt	Proposed				Increase		
	Bills	Therms	Rate	I	Revenue	Rate		Revenue		Amount	Percen	
Basic Service Charge	109,101		\$30.00	\$	3,273,030	\$35.00	\$	3,818,535	\$	545,505		
Distribution Charge		64,949,321	\$ 0.10800	\$	7,014,527	\$ 0.14627	\$	9,499,942	\$	2,485,415		
Discount		7,242,210	\$ (0.06125)	\$	(443,570)	\$ (0.09952)	\$	(720,767)	\$	(277,197)		
MGP		57,707,111	\$ -	\$	-	\$ 0.01040	\$	599,974	\$	599,974		
Cost of Gas Charge												
Summer (Apr-Oct)		15,634,588	\$ 0.33921	\$	5,303,463	\$ 0.33921	\$	5,303,463				
Winter (Nov-Mar)		42,072,522	\$ 0.39823	\$	16,754,441	\$ 0.39823	\$	16,754,441				
Cost of Gas Charge		57,707,111	\$ 0.38224	\$	22,057,904	\$ 0.38224	\$	22,057,904	\$	-		
Average Customers	9,092											
			Total	\$	31,901,891		\$	35,255,588	\$	3,353,697	10.509	

Small Interruptible Service

	Units			Present			Proposed				Increase		
	Bills	Therms		Rate]	Revenue		Rate		Revenue		Amount	Percent
Basic Service Charge	758		\$	75.00	\$	56,850	\$	100.00	\$	75,800	\$	18,950	
Distribution Charge		5,699,135	\$	0.08800	\$	501,524	\$	0.11279	\$	642,805	\$	141,281	
MGP		5,699,135	\$	-	\$	-	\$	0.01040	\$	59,253	\$	59,253	
Cost of Gas Charge		5,699,135	\$	0.28715	\$	1,636,515	\$	0.28715	\$	1,636,515	\$	-	
Average Customers	63												
			To	tal	\$	2,194,889			\$	2,414,374	\$	219,485	10.00%

Large Interruptible Service

	Units			Pre	eseı	nt	Pro	opo	sed	Increas	e
	Bills	Therms	R	ate		Revenue	Rate		Revenue	Amount	Percent
Basic Service Charge	300		\$ 2	275.00	\$	82,500	\$ 275.00	\$	82,500	\$ -	
Distribution Charge		29,939,839	\$ 0.	.05120	\$	1,532,920	\$ 0.07812	\$	2,338,900	\$ 805,980	
Discount		12,763,099	\$ (0.	.01089)	\$	(139,038)	\$ (0.03781)	\$	(482,620)	\$ (343,582)	
MGP		17,176,740	\$	-	\$	-	\$ 0.01040	\$	178,585	\$ 178,585	
Cost of Gas Charge		17,176,740	\$ 0.	.28715	\$	4,932,326	\$ 0.28715	\$	4,932,326	\$ -	
Average Customers	25										
			Total	1	\$	6,408,708		\$	7,049,691	\$ 640,983	10.00%

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)
				Revenue	Revenue		Difference Between
		Present	MGP	Deficiency	Deficiency	Total Effect	CCOSS Revenue
Customer Class		Revenues	Revenues	Indicated	Indicated	of Proposed	Deficiency and
				by CCOSS	by CCOSS	Rates	Proposed Rates
				(Less MGP)	(With MGP)		
Residential	\$ increase	\$26,797	\$413	\$8,624	\$9,036	\$4,020	\$5,017
	% increase			32.18%	33.72%	15.0%	18.7%
Commercial	\$ increase	\$31,902	\$600	(\$439)	\$161	\$3,354	(\$3,192)
	% increase			-1.37%	0.51%	10.5%	-10.0%
Interruptible Service	\$ increase	\$2,195	\$59	(\$328)	(\$269)	\$219	(\$488)
(Small Volume)	% increase			-14.95%	-12.25%	10.0%	-22.3%
Interruptible Service	\$ increase	\$6,409	\$179	(\$798)	(\$620)	\$641	(\$1,261)
(Large Volume)	% increase			-12.46%	-9.67%	10.0%	-19.7%
Other Revenues	\$ increase	\$550				\$75	
	% increase						
Total	\$ increase	\$67,853	\$1,250	\$7,059	\$8,309	\$8,309	\$0
	% increase			10.40%	12.25%	12.25%	0.0%

Rate Design - Class Impact by Rate Component

		(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)			
			Overall Impacts of Proposed Rates					
Custome	or Class		Delivery /					
Custome	A Class	Present	Basic Service	Distribution	Total Effect of			
		Revenues	Charges	Charges	All Changes			
Residential	\$ increase	\$26,797	\$3,607	\$413	\$4,020			
	% increase		13.5%	1.5%	15.0%			
Commercial	\$ increase	\$31,902	\$546	\$2,808	\$3,354			
	% increase		1.7%	8.8%	10.5%			
Small Interruptible	\$ increase	\$2,195	\$19	\$201	\$219			
	% increase		0.9%	9.1%	10.0%			
Large Interruptible	\$ increase	\$6,409	\$0	\$641	\$641			
	% increase		0.0%	10.0%	10.0%			
Total	\$ increase	\$67,303	\$4,171	\$4,062	\$8,234			
	% increase		6.2%	6.0%	12.2%			

_	Prese Rate		Propos Rate	
Residential Firm Service				
Delivery Services Charge	\$18.48	/ Month	\$24.28	/ Month
Cost of Gas	\$0.38567	/Therm	\$0.38567	/Therm
MGP amortization	\$0.00000	/Therm	\$0.01040	/Therm
C&I Firm Service				
Basic Service Charge	\$30.00	/Month	\$35.00	/Month
Distribution Charge	\$0.10800	/Therm	\$0.14627	/Therm
Cost of Gas	\$0.38224	/Therm	\$0.38224	/Therm
MGP amortization	\$0.00000	/Therm	\$0.01040	/Therm
Small C&I Interruptible Service				
Basic Service Charge	\$75.00	/Month	\$100.00	/Month
Distribution Charge	\$0.08800	/Therm	\$0.11279	/Therm
Cost of Gas	\$0.28715	/Therm	\$0.28715	/Therm
MGP amortization	\$0.00000	/Therm	\$0.01040	/Therm
Large C&I Interruptible Service				
Basic Service Charge	\$275.00	/Month	\$275.00	/Month
Distribution Charge	\$0.05120	/Therm	\$0.07812	/Therm
Cost of Gas	\$0.28715	/Therm	\$0.28715	/Therm
MGP amortization	\$0.00000	/Therm	\$0.01040	/Therm

Case No. PU-21-___ Exhibit___(CJB-1), Schedule 8 Page 1 of 2

RESIDENTIAL FIRM SERVICE

Use	Bill Amount	Bill Amount		
(Therms)	(Present)	(Proposed)	<u>Increase</u>	<u>Percent</u>
0	\$18.48	\$24.28	\$5.80	31.4%
10	\$22.34	\$28.24	\$5.90	26.4%
20	\$26.19	\$32.20	\$6.01	22.9%
30	\$30.05	\$36.16	\$6.11	20.3%
40	\$33.91	\$40.12	\$6.22	18.3%
50	\$37.76	\$44.08	\$6.32	16.7%
64	\$43.10	\$49.56	\$6.46	15.0%
75	\$47.41	\$53.99	\$6.58	13.9%
100	\$57.05	\$63.89	\$6.84	12.0%
200	\$95.61	\$103.49	\$7.88	8.2%
300	\$134.18	\$143.10	\$8.92	6.6%
500	\$211.32	\$222.32	\$11.00	5.2%

COMMERCIAL & INDUSTRIAL FIRM SERVICE

Use	Bill Amount	Bill Amount		
(Therms)	(Present)	(Proposed)	<u>Increase</u>	<u>Percent</u>
0	\$30.00	\$35.00	\$5.00	16.7%
50	\$54.51	\$61.95	\$7.43	13.6%
100	\$79.02	\$88.89	\$9.87	12.5%
250	\$152.56	\$169.73	\$17.17	11.3%
500	\$275.12	\$304.45	\$29.33	10.7%
595	\$321.85	\$355.82	\$33.97	10.6%
750	\$397.68	\$439.18	\$41.50	10.4%
1,000	\$520.24	\$573.90	\$53.66	10.3%
3,000	\$1,500.72	\$1,651.71	\$150.99	10.1%
5,000	\$2,481.19	\$2,729.51	\$248.32	10.0%
7,500	\$3,706.79	\$4,076.77	\$369.98	10.0%
10,000	\$4,932.39	\$5,424.03	\$491.64	10.0%

Case No. PU-21-___ Exhibit___(CJB-1), Schedule 8 Page 2 of 2

SMALL VOLUME INTERRUPTIBLE SERVICE

Use	Bill Amount	Bill Amount		
(Therms)	(Present)	(Proposed)	<u>Increase</u>	<u>Percent</u>
1,000	\$450.15	\$510.34	\$60.19	13.4%
3,000	\$1,200.45	\$1,331.01	\$130.56	10.9%
5,000	\$1,950.76	\$2,151.69	\$200.93	10.3%
7,500	\$2,888.64	\$3,177.54	\$288.90	10.0%
7,519	\$2,895.63	\$3,185.19	\$289.56	10.0%
10,000	\$3,826.51	\$4,203.38	\$376.87	9.8%
20,000	\$7,578.03	\$8,306.77	\$728.74	9.6%

LARGE VOLUME INTERRUPTIBLE SERVICE

Use	Bill Amount	Bill Amount		
(Therms)	(Present)	(Proposed)	<u>Increase</u>	<u>Percent</u>
1,000	\$613.35	\$650.67	\$37.32	6.1%
3,000	\$1,290.05	\$1,402.00	\$111.95	8.7%
5,000	\$1,966.76	\$2,153.34	\$186.58	9.5%
7,500	\$2,812.64	\$3,092.51	\$279.88	10.0%
10,000	\$3,658.51	\$4,031.68	\$373.17	10.2%
50,000	\$17,192.57	\$19,058.42	\$1,865.84	10.9%
99,799	\$34,042.29	\$37,766.50	\$3,724.21	10.9%
100,000	\$34,110.14	\$37,841.83	\$3,731.69	10.9%
150,000	\$51,027.72	\$56,625.25	\$5,597.53	11.0%
200,000	\$67,945.29	\$75,408.67	\$7,463.38	11.0%

Case No. PU-21-___ Exhibit___(CJB-1), Schedule 9 Page 1 of 1

Peak Day Demand Costs - Total \$9,397,641

(1)	Twelve Month Peak Day Demand Costs	\$5,070,735
(2)	Firm Demand Billing Units (therms)	97,397,900
(3)	Firm Demand Cost per Therm	\$0.05206
(4)	Winter Peak Day Demand Costs	\$4,326,906
(5)	Firm Demand Billing Units (therms)	73,319,819
(6)	Firm Demand Cost per Therm	\$0.05901

Commodity Costs (Taken From Budget)	Class Commodity <u>Cost</u>	Commodity Cost per therm	Summer Total Capacity & Commodity Cost per therm	Winter Total Capacity & Commodity Cost per therm
Residential Firm	\$11,397,267	\$0.28715	\$0.33921	\$0.39823
Commercial Firm	\$16,570,680	\$0.28715	\$0.33921	\$0.39823
Small Interruptible	\$1,636,515	\$0.28715	\$0.28715	\$0.28715
Large Interruptible	\$4,932,326	\$0.28715	\$0.28715	\$0.28715
<u>Transportation</u>	<u>\$0</u>			
TOTAL	\$34,536,788	\$0.28715		\$43,934,429

Northern States Power Company Natural Gas Utility - State of North Dakota Increase to Other Revenues Case No. PU-21-___ Exhibit___(CJB-1), Schedule 10 Page 1 of 3

Other Revenue Impact

Tariff	Туре	Present Charge	Proposed Charge	Unit	Present Revenue	Proposed Revenue	Difference
5.2	Excess Footage	\$3.50	\$9.10	6,473	\$22,656	\$58,904	\$36,249
5.3	Excavation	\$400	\$685	16	\$6,400	\$10,960	\$4,560
5.3	Main & Service Ext.	\$3.00	\$8.90	2,621	\$7,863	\$23,327	\$15,464
	Revenue Impact					\$93,191	\$56,273

Northern States Power Company Natural Gas Utility - State of North Dakota Residential New Services < or = 75 feet Cost Per Service Case No. PU-21-___ Exhibit___(CJB-1), Schedule 10 Page 2 of 3

	Residential
Total Number of Work Orders (1 Work Order = 1 Service) Total Actual Cost With Overheads	\$ 3,46 ⁻ 4,829,13 ²
Base Cost per Service	\$ 1,392.8
Total Cost Per Service including Material & Meter Costs	\$ 1,833.83
Labor for removal	
Percentage of Setup Charge Labor to remove	42
Total Labor Dollars for Removal	\$ 585.0
Other Items for Removal*	\$ 565.6
Incremental Cost Per Service	\$ 683.1
Incremental Cost Per Foot	\$ 9.1
Proposed Continuation of Excess Footage Charge	\$ 9.1

^{*}These other items include meter credit capitalized at receipt, excess flow valves, service tees, meter brackets, straight risers, and meter assemblies.

2020 Winter Construction Burner Costs

Before January 1st Typically burn for 2 days A burner requires 3 - 20 lb propane tanks to run for 2 days (20 lb tank = 5 gallons)								
_			_	_		Gallons	Propane	
Process	Crew or Vehicles	Time to Do	Cost per Hour	Cost	Cost per Gallon	Used	Cost	Totals
Set burner	Two man crew	1	\$93.59	\$93.59				
Re-tank burner	Two man crew	0	\$93.59	\$0.00				
Remove burner	Two man crew	0.5	\$93.59	\$46.80				
Total Labor				\$140.39				
Labor Loading @ 76.87%				\$107.91				
Labor w/ Loading				\$248.30				\$248.30
Vehicle & Equipment	Truck and Trailer	1.5	13.11	\$19.67				\$19.67
Propane Cost					2.02	15	\$30.30	\$30.30
Costs (before E&S)				\$298.26				\$298.26
E&S cost @ 42.78%				\$127.60				\$127.60
Total Cost				\$425.86				\$425.86

		After Jan	uary 1st - Typical	ly burn for 3 c	days			
Process	Crew or Vehicles	Time to Do	Cost per Hour	Cost	Cost per Gallon	Gallons Used	Propane Cost	Totals
Set burner	Two man crew	1	. \$93.59	\$93.59	•			
Re-tank burner	Two man crew	1	\$93.59	\$93.59				
Remove burner	Two man crew	0.5	\$93.59	\$46.80				
Total Labor				\$233.98				
Labor Loading @ 76.87%				\$179.86				•
Labor w/ Loading				\$413.83				\$413.83
Vehicle & Equipment	truck and trailer	2.5	13.11	\$32.78	2.02	22.5	\$45.45	\$32.78 \$45.45
Propane Cost					2.02	22.0	Ψ10.10	Ψ 10. 10
Costs (before E&S)				\$492.06				\$492.06
E&S cost @ 42.78%				\$210.50				\$210.50
Total Cost				\$702.56				\$702.56

^{*} Please note, 90% of all burners are set after January 1st.

Before and after January Costs	Percentage	
\$425.86	10%	\$42.59
\$702.56	90%	\$632.30
		\$674.89
Billing Labor		\$10.00
Producing Bill		\$0.11
Postage		<u>\$0.40</u>
Total Cost of a Burner		\$685.39

2019 Winter Construction Per foot Charge

Winter Construction billed for in Winter of 2019

Average Cost per foot winter 2019 Services = \$28.07 Average Cost per foot non-Winter Months Services = \$19.16 Difference for Winter Construction \$8.91

2021 Updates to Charges

Tariff								
Current Gas Charges			Update	d Costs	Proposed T	ariff Charg	е	
Service Extension	\$400.00	per thaw unit	\$685.39	per thaw unit	Thawing	\$685.00	per thaw unit	
					Service, Primary, or			
		plus per trench		plus per trench	Secondary distribution			
	\$3.00	foot	\$8.91	foot	extension	\$8.90	per foot	